

The Aluffi algebra

ABBAS NASROLLAH NEJAD¹ and ARON SIMIS²

Abstract

We deal with the *quasi-symmetric algebra* introduced by Paolo Aluffi, here named (embedded) Aluffi algebra. The algebra is a sort of “intermediate” algebra between the symmetric algebra and the Rees algebra of an ideal, which serves the purpose of introducing the characteristic cycle of a hypersurface in intersection theory. The results described in the present paper have an algebraic flavor and naturally connect with various themes of commutative algebra, such as standard bases à la Hironaka, Artin–Rees like questions, Valabrega–Valla ideals, ideals of linear type, relation type and analytic spread. We give estimates for the dimension of the Aluffi algebra and show that, pretty generally, the latter is equidimensional whenever the base ring is a hypersurface ring. There is a converse to this under certain conditions that essentially subsume the setup in Aluffi’s theory, thus suggesting that this algebra will not handle cases other than the singular locus of a hypersurface. The torsion and the structure of the minimal primes of the algebra are clarified. In the case of a projective hypersurface the results are more precise and one is naturally led to look at families of projective plane singular curves to understand how the property of being of linear type deforms/specializes for the singular locus of a member. It is fairly elementary to show that the singular locus of an irreducible curve of degree at most 3 is of linear type. This is roundly false in degree larger than 4 and the picture looks pretty wild as we point out by means of some families. Degree 4 is the intriguing case. Here we are able to show that the singular locus of the generic member of a family of rational quartics, fixing the singularity type, is of linear type. We conjecture that *every* irreducible quartic has singular locus of linear type.

Introduction

This work is inspired on a paper of P. Aluffi ([2]) that shows, in the case of a hypersurface, how to define a so-called characteristic cycle in parallel to the well-known conormal cycle in intersection theory. To accomplish it, Aluffi introduces an intermediate algebra between a symmetric algebra of an ideal and the corresponding Rees algebra (blowup).

Aluffi has dubbed his construction a *quasi-symmetric algebra*. Since there are many homomorphic images of the symmetric algebra that could equally benefit from this terminology, we have decided to call it an *embedded Aluffi algebra*. This has the advantage of indicating that the algebra itself has a more complex behavior for more general schemes than for hypersurfaces and, as such, it will often tilt to the other end of the spectrum, namely, become a honest blowup algebra.

The definition of the algebra is based on taking ideals $J \subset I \subset R$ in an arbitrary ring, by setting

$$\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) := \mathcal{S}_{R/J}(I/J) \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_R(I)} \mathcal{R}_R(I).$$

⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification 2000 (MSC2000). Primary 13A30, 14B05, 14D06, 14H10; Secondary 13B25, 13C15, 13D02, 13F45, 13P10, 14H50 .

¹Supported by a CNPq Graduate Fellowship

²Partially supported by a CNPq Grant.

The R -embedded Aluffi algebra is functorial in the following sense: let $R \rightarrow R'$ be a ring homomorphism, let $J' \subset I' \subset R'$ denote the respective images of $J \subset I$ under this map. If this map induces an isomorphism $R/J \simeq R'/J'$ then it induces a ring surjection

$$\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{R' \rightarrow R'/J'}(I'/J').$$

Thus, it makes sense to take the inverse limit of such ring surjections. Letting A denote the common target and \mathfrak{a} the common ideal in the target, Aluffi takes

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a}) := \lim_{R \twoheadrightarrow A} \mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow A}(\mathfrak{a}).$$

A point made in his work is that $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a})$ is actually independent of the choice of the source R (i.e., of the presentation $R/J \simeq A$) provided R is constrained to be regular. Thus, if R is indeed regular then $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a}) \simeq \mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow A}(\mathfrak{a})$ by the structural map.

Motivated by the case where R is regular, we will study a single member $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow A}(\mathfrak{a})$ of this inverse system and, accordingly, omit “ R -embedded” if this causes no confusion.

The overall goal of this work is to study the nature of the algebra *ab initio* and then apply it to a concrete case. Now, Aluffi focused on the case of a hypersurface – or, so to say, a Cartier divisor. Though not explicitly, his work suggests that the application to intersection theory as he had in mind may not turn out to be suitable for more general varieties. One of our results explains this insufficiency by showing that if the Aluffi algebra is equidimensional – actually, it suffices to know that two of the minimal primes have the same dimension – then the variety has codimension one. Moreover, if the Aluffi algebra is actually pure-dimensional (i.e., no embedded primes and equidimensional) then the variety has to be a Cartier divisor.

Grosso modo the material presented here encloses two sorts of results. First, one studies the properties of the Aluffi algebra in a quite general ring-theoretic setup, bringing in some of the typical objects and invariants of commutative algebra. This will take up the first two sections. The third section deals with the special case of a projectively embedded hypersurface and its singular locus (“gradient ideal”), which is the main background in Aluffi’s work for this sort of embedding.

One has a better view of how more structured is the algebra in the case of a homogeneous equation than in that of its affine companion. In characteristic zero the intervenience of the Euler formula becomes crucial in order to obtain the specifics of the algebra.

A major case, as already pointed out by Aluffi, is the case where the ideal I is of *linear type* – meaning that $\mathcal{S}_R(I) = \mathcal{R}_R(I)$. As it follows immediately from the definition, this assumption implies that $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J) = \mathcal{S}_{R/J}(I/J)$. We show that the converse holds in the case where J is a principal ideal generated by a regular element.

It is our belief that the algebra is relevant on its own and may play a role in situations other than having J a principal ideal. Therefore, we deal as much as possible with its structure in the general case – i.e., when the ideal J has arbitrary codimension. We find that it is closely related to known themes of commutative algebra, such as standard bases (à la Hironaka), Artin–Rees number and relation type of an ideal.

One aspect of this is to identify the torsion of the Aluffi algebra as the so-called Valabrega–Valla module. This module – actually an ideal in the Aluffi algebra – has been mainly considered in [16, 5.1] in connection to the situation in which J is a reduction of the ideal I . However, in this case the structural surjection $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow A}(I/J) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$ to the

relative blowup is actually an equality in all high degrees, hence the two algebras are finite R/J -modules, a case one can dismiss as of no interest for the present theory, as we are mainly interested in the case where I has a regular element modulo J – or at least when $\text{ht}(J) < \text{ht}(I)$ (strict inequality).

An equally meaningful topic is the nature of the associated primes of the Aluffi algebra. This could throw some light on the summands of the so-called *shadow* of the characteristic cycle, a notion introduced by Aluffi in [loc.cit.] (we thank R. Bedregal for calling our attention to this matter). We get pretty close to describing its minimal primes. Since often the algebra is just the symmetric algebra of an ideal, getting hold of its associated primes undergoes the same hardship one faces for the latter. Actually, as we will show, the basic intuition one has about the minimal primes of the symmetric algebra will work for the Aluffi algebra as well.

Our motivation for the last section comes from Aluffi's quest of the nature of the algebra in the case that J is generated by the equation of a reduced hypersurface and I defines its singular locus. Our main interest is to understand its impact in the case of a projective hypersurface and even more modestly, on the nature of the singularities of plane such curves in low degrees.

Thus, let $J = (f) \subset R$ be a principal ideal, where $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. We will focus on the Jacobian ideal $I = I_f = (f, \partial f / \partial X_1, \dots, \partial f / \partial X_n)$. We are particularly motivated by the problem as to when I is an ideal of linear type. Now, in general f will not be Eulerian, hence the local number of generators of I_f maybe an early obstruction – examples of this sort are easily available.

At the other extreme, if f is Eulerian – e.g., if f homogeneous in the standard grading of the polynomial ring and its degree is not a multiple of the characteristic – then it seems like a good bet to expect that I often be of linear type over R . Of course, $I/(f)$ over $R/(f)$ will never be of linear type – not even generated by analytically independent elements for that matter – as the defining equations of the dual variety to $V(f)$ is a permanent obstruction.

In order to stress the partial derivatives of the homogeneous polynomial f we will call I_f the *gradient* ideal of f . We will assume throughout that $\text{char}(k) = 0$ or at least that the latter does not divide the degree of f . In this case, by the Euler formula, $f \in I_f$. One may name the Aluffi embedded algebra in this case the *gradient Aluffi algebra* of f .

We show that, for a regular element $a \in R$, the Aluffi algebra of a pair $(a) \subset I \subset R$ is equidimensional. However, its structure is still intricate even when a is the equation of an irreducible projective hypersurface and I , its gradient ideal. A sufficiently tidy case is that of the singular locus of f being set-theoretically a nonempty set of points. Algebraically, this translates into the gradient ideal I_f being a (strict) almost complete intersection, a situation which is fairly manageable.

We will by and large consider the property of being of linear type for I_f along certain families of plane curves. Part of the difficulty of the theory is that, perhaps unexpectedly, the notion of being of linear type is neither kept by specialization nor by generization.

We discuss the property of being of linear type for the gradient ideal, giving evidence that its behavior may be rather erratic. The main question is to understand how the nature of the singularities reflect on the algebra and on its minimal primes, with an eye to the cycle components of Aluffi's characteristic shadow.

Here we are able to show that the singular ideal of the general member of a family of

irreducible rational quartics, fixing the singularity type, is of linear type. The proof is of some substance as it uses a classification of these curves in terms of quadratic Cremona maps. We conjecture that any irreducible quartic has gradient ideal of linear type. In the case of rational quartics, a classification of the possible families allows for a computational verification of this conjecture. However, we have found no theoretical argument that works for all rational quartics and not just for the general member of each of these families.

1 The embedded Aluffi algebra

1.1 Preliminaries

Let A be a ring and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of A . Let R be a ring surjecting onto A and let I denote the inverse image of \mathfrak{a} in R . Note that the symmetric algebra $\mathcal{S}_R(I)$ maps surjectively both to the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}_R(I)$ and (by functoriality of the symmetric algebra) to $\mathcal{S}_A(\mathfrak{a})$.

Definition 1.1 The R -embedded Aluffi algebra of \mathfrak{a} is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow A}(\mathfrak{a}) := \mathcal{S}_A(\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_R(I)} \mathcal{R}_R(I).$$

We develop a few general preliminaries about the R -embedded Aluffi algebra. The first is a useful presentation that has already been observed in [2, Theorem 2.9] in the context of schemes.

Lemma 1.2 *In the above setup, write $I/J := \mathfrak{a} \subset R/J := A$, where $I \subset R$ is the inverse image of \mathfrak{a} in R . There are natural A -algebra isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow A}(\mathfrak{a}) \simeq \frac{\mathcal{R}_R(I)}{(J, \tilde{J}) \mathcal{R}_R(I)} \simeq \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} I^t / J I^{t-1}.$$

where J is in degree 0 and \tilde{J} is in degree 1. In particular, there is a surjective A -algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow A}(\mathfrak{a}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_A(\mathfrak{a})$.

Proof. By the universal property of the symmetric algebra, one sees that

$$\mathcal{S}_{R/J}(I/J) \simeq \frac{\mathcal{S}_R(I)}{(J, \tilde{J}) \mathcal{S}_R(I)}.$$

Tensoring with $\mathcal{R}_R(I)$ gives the first isomorphism. The second one is now immediate from the definition of \tilde{J} . \square

From the definition and Lemma 1.2, the Aluffi algebra is squeezed as

$$\mathcal{S}_{R/J}(I/J) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J) \tag{1}$$

and is moreover a residue ring of the ambient Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}_R(I)$.

If no confusion arises, for a fixed ambient R we will simply refer to this algebra as the Aluffi algebra of $\mathfrak{a} = I/J$. Unless stated otherwise, we will assume that $J \subsetneq I \subsetneq R$.

Note that if the ideal I is of linear type – i.e., the natural surjection $\mathcal{S}_R(I) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_R(I)$ is injective – then trivially $\mathcal{S}_A(I/J) \simeq \mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow A}(I/J)$. The following example shows that in general there is no converse to this statement even when R is a hypersurface domain.

Example 1.3 Let $R = k[x, y, z] = k[X, Y, Z]/(XY - Z^2)$, with $J = (x, z)$ (the ideal of a ruling in the affine cone) and $I = (x, y, z)$. Then $R/J \simeq k[Y]$ and $I/J \simeq (Y)$. Therefore, I/J is of linear type, hence $\mathcal{S}_{R/J}(I/J) \simeq \mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) \simeq \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$.

This is a particular instance in the following large class: take (R, \mathfrak{m}) to be a non-regular local ring – or a non-degenerate standard graded algebra over a field and its irrelevant ideal – with $J \subset I = \mathfrak{m}$ such that R/J is regular. Then I/J is generated by a regular sequence on R/J , hence is of linear type, while \mathfrak{m} is never of linear type.

It would be interesting to find such examples with (R, \mathfrak{m}) a regular local ring and $J \subset \mathfrak{m}I$.

In the special case where J is a hypersurface, no such examples exist as we now indicate.

Proposition 1.4 *Let R be a Noetherian ring and let I denote an ideal. If $a \in I$ is a regular element then I is of linear type if and only if the natural surjection*

$$\mathcal{S}_{R/(a)}(I/(a)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/(a)}(I/(a))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The trivial implication has already been mentioned above. For the reverse direction, consider an R -algebra presentation $S := R[\mathbf{T}] \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_R(I)$ based on a set of generators $\mathbf{b} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ of I . Write $\mathcal{J} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{J}_i$ for the kernel of this map, where \mathcal{J}_i stands for the homogenous part of degree i of \mathcal{J} in the standard grading of S . Note that $\mathcal{J}_1 S \subset \mathcal{J}$ defines likewise the symmetric algebra of I on S , so we need to show that for any $r \geq 0$, $\mathcal{J}_r \subset \mathcal{J}_1 S$.

We induct on r , the result being trivial if $r = 1$. Thus, let $r \geq 2$. By Lemma 1.2 one has

$$\mathcal{J} \subset (\mathcal{J}_1, \tilde{a}, a)S.$$

Let $F = F(\mathbf{T}) \in \mathcal{J}_r$. Then $F = L + \tilde{a}G + aH$ where $L \in \mathcal{J}_1 S_{r-1}$, $G \in S_{r-1}$ and $H \in S_r$. Note that, if $a = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j b_j$ then $\tilde{a} = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j T_j$, hence $\tilde{a}(\mathbf{b}) = a$, i.e., evaluating \tilde{a} on the generators of I gives back a . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F(\mathbf{b}) = L(\mathbf{b}) + \tilde{a}(\mathbf{b})G(\mathbf{b}) + aH(\mathbf{b}) \\ &= a \cdot (G + H)(\mathbf{b}), \end{aligned}$$

since $L \in \mathcal{J}$. As a is a regular element, $G + H \in \mathcal{J}$, hence, by homogeneity, $G \in \mathcal{J}_{r-1}$ and $H \in \mathcal{J}_r$.

By the inductive hypothesis, $G \in \mathcal{J}_1 S_{r-2}$, hence $\tilde{a}G \in \mathcal{J}_1 S_{r-1}$. Therefore, $F \in (\mathcal{J}_1 S_{r-1})S + a \mathcal{J}_r S$, thus showing the equality of ideals $\mathcal{J}_r S = (\mathcal{J}_1 S_{r-1})S + a \mathcal{J}_r S$. By the graded version of Nakayama's lemma, this implies that $\mathcal{J}_r S = (\mathcal{J}_1 S_{r-1})S$, as was to be shown. \square

There is the following consequence, for which we claim no priority.

Corollary 1.5 *Let R be a Noetherian ring and let $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \subset R$ be a regular sequence. If $I \subset R$ is an ideal containing $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ such that $I/(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ is of linear type on $R/(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ then I is of linear type on R .*

Proof. Induct on m . For $m = 1$, it readily follows from (1) and Proposition 1.4.

Next assume that $m \geq 2$ and write $J = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$. Set $\bar{R} := R/(a_1, \dots, a_{m-1})$ and, likewise,

$$\bar{J} := J/(a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}) = (\bar{a}_m) \subset \bar{I} := I/(a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}).$$

Clearly, $\bar{I}/(\bar{a}_m) \simeq I/J$ in $\bar{R}/(\bar{a}_m) \simeq R/J$. Therefore, the assumption that I/J is of linear type on R/J implies that $\bar{I}/(\bar{a}_m)$ is of linear type on $\bar{R}/(\bar{a}_m)$, where \bar{a}_m is a regular element in \bar{R} . By the first part, \bar{I} is of linear type on \bar{R} . Then, by the inductive hypothesis, I is of linear type on R . \square

1.2 Dimension

A few routine statements follow from the preliminaries of the previous subsection.

Proposition 1.6 *Let $J \subsetneq I \subsetneq R$ be ideals of the Noetherian ring R .*

- (a) *If J has a regular element then $\dim \mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J) \leq \dim R$.*
- (b) *If I/J has a regular element then*

$$\min\{\dim R + 1, \dim \mathcal{S}_{R/J}(I/J)\} \geq \dim \mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J) \geq \dim R/J + 1.$$

Proof. (a) Since $\mathcal{R}_R(I)$ is R -torsionfree, one has $\text{ht } J\mathcal{R}_R(I) \geq 1$. Therefore

$$\dim \mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J) \leq \dim \mathcal{R}_R(I)/J\mathcal{R}_R(I) \leq \dim R + 1 - 1 = \dim R.$$

(b) This follows immediately from (1) by the well-known dimension formula for the Rees algebra of an ideal containing a regular element. \square

Remark 1.7 In (a) this is all one can assert in such generality because if, e.g., a power of the ideal I is contained in J , then $\dim \mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J) = \dim R/J$.

Perhaps less routine is the following result.

Theorem 1.8 *Let R be a catenary, equidimensional and equicodimensional Noetherian ring and let $I \subsetneq R$ be an ideal containing a regular element a . Then $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/(a))$ is equidimensional and $\dim \mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/(a)) = \dim R$.*

Proof. Under the assumptions on R , $I/(a)$ and a , one can apply Proposition 1.6, (a), and the right hand inequality of (b) to conclude that $\dim \mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/(a)) = \dim R - 1 + 1 = \dim R$.

To prove the equidimensionality part, we will show that $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/(a)}(I/(a))$ is equidimensional locally at every prime ideal $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{R}_R(I)$ in its support. Localizing first at $\mathcal{P} \cap R$ in the base ring one can assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local, with $\mathcal{P} \cap R = \mathfrak{m}$ and $I \subset \mathfrak{m}$. Now, $\mathcal{M} = (\mathfrak{m}, Iu) \subset \mathcal{R}_R(I) \subset R[Iu]$ is not a minimal prime of $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/(a)}(I/(a))$. This is because the Aluffi algebra is graded, with grading induced from $\mathcal{R}_R(I)$, hence \mathcal{M} would actually be its unique associated prime, which is impossible as $\dim R \geq 1$. Thus, for the purpose of showing equidimensionality, we may assume that \mathcal{P} is a homogeneous ideal properly contained in \mathcal{M} .

Let $I = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$. Note that in the present situation, one has by Lemma 1.2:

$$\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/(a)}(I/(a)) \simeq \mathcal{R}_R(I)/(a, \tilde{a}).$$

Write $\mathcal{R}_R(I) = R[b_1u, \dots, b_nu] \subset R[u]$, so that $\tilde{a} = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j b_j u$, for suitable $c_j \in R$.

Suppose first that $(Iu) \not\subset \mathcal{P}$. Say, $b_1u \notin \mathcal{P}$. Localizing at \mathcal{P} yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/(a)}(I/(a))_{\mathcal{P}} &\simeq R[Iu]_{\mathcal{P}}/(a, \tilde{a})_{\mathcal{P}} \simeq R\left[\frac{I}{b_1}, b_1u, (b_1u)^{-1}\right]_{\mathcal{P}'} \Big/ \left(a, c_1 + \sum_{j=2}^m c_j \frac{b_j}{b_1}\right)_{\mathcal{P}'} \\ &= R\left[\frac{I}{b_1}, b_1u, (b_1u)^{-1}\right]_{\mathcal{P}'} \Big/ \left(a, \frac{a}{b_1}\right)_{\mathcal{P}'} \\ &= R\left[\frac{I}{b_1}, b_1u, (b_1u)^{-1}\right]_{\mathcal{P}'} \Big/ \left(\frac{a}{b_1}\right)_{\mathcal{P}'}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{P}' denotes the corresponding image of \mathcal{P} . The rightmost ring above is a factor ring of a catenary, equidimensional and equicodimensional ring by a principal ideal generated by a regular element, hence it is equidimensional and so is $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/(a)}(I/(a))_{\mathcal{P}}$ too.

Suppose now that $(Iu) \subset \mathcal{P}$. Then $\mathfrak{m} \not\subset \mathcal{P}$ since $\mathcal{P} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}$, hence $p := \mathcal{P} \cap R \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}$. Note that p is a prime containing a .

If $I \not\subset p$ then $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/(a)}(I/(a))_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a localization of the ring

$$R_p[I_p u]/(a, \tilde{a}) = R_p[u]/(a, au) = R_p[u]/(a)$$

and we conclude as above. If $I \subset p$ then $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/(a)}(I/(a))_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a localization of the Aluffi algebra $\mathcal{A}_{R_p \rightarrow R_p/(a)}(I/(a))$ and we conclude by induction on $\dim R$. □

A geometric version of Theorem 1.8 case is stated in [2, Corollary 2.18].

We will have more to say about the equidimensionality of the Aluffi algebra in subsequent sections.

1.3 Local or graded case

In this part we assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a Noetherian local ring and its maximal ideal or a standard graded algebra over a field and its maximal irrelevant ideal. Throughout R/\mathfrak{m} is an infinite field.

Let $J \subset I \subset \mathfrak{m}$. We confront ourselves with two quite opposite situations, namely, when $J \subset \mathfrak{m}I$ and when J contains minimal generators of I . Note that if J is a reduction of I then $J \subset \mathfrak{m}I$ would entail $I^t = JI^{t-1} \subset \mathfrak{m}I^t$, for $t \gg 0$, hence $I^t = \{0\}$, i.e., I would be nilpotent.

Drawing upon a terminology of geometry, let us agree to say that the pair $J \subset I$ of ideals is *non-degenerate* if $J \subset \mathfrak{m}I$. If on the other extreme, $J \subset I$ is generated by a subset of minimal generators of I , we may call the pair $J \subset I$ *totally degenerate*. The latter case can usually be disposed of by a standard argument (see Proposition 2.2).

We recall that the *analytic spread* of I , denoted $\ell(I)$, is the dimension of the R/\mathfrak{m} -standard algebra $\mathcal{R}_R(I)/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R}_R(I)$. It can be shown that $\ell(I)$ coincides with the number of

minimal generators of a least possible reduction of I , but we shall have no occasion to use this result. The behavior of $\ell(I)$ in face of other numerical invariants related to I is as follows:

$$\text{ht } I \leq \ell(I) \leq \min\{\mu(I), \dim R\},$$

where $\mu(I)$ denotes minimal number of generators. We will say that I has *maximal analytic spread* if $\ell(I) = \dim R$. Note that this forces $\mu(I) \geq \dim R$.

Proposition 1.9 *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be as above with R/\mathfrak{m} infinite. Suppose that $J \subset I$ is a non-degenerate pair and that J has a regular element. Then*

- (i) $\ell(I) \leq \dim \mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) \leq \dim R$; in particular, if I has maximal analytic spread then $\dim \mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) = \dim R$.
- (ii) If I has maximal analytic spread and, moreover, $\mu(I) = \dim R$, then $\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R}_R(I)$ is a minimal prime of $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J)$ of maximal dimension.
- (iii) If $J \subset I^2$ then $\dim \mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) = \dim R$.

Proof. (i) $J \subset \mathfrak{m}I$ implies $J I^{t-1} \subset \mathfrak{m}I^t$ for every $t \geq 0$. This yields a surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_R(I)/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R}_R(I)$, from which follows the leftmost inequality.

The other inequality stems from Proposition 1.6, (a).

(ii) The assumption $\ell(I) = \mu(I) = \dim R$ implies that I is generated by analytically independent elements and the latter entails that $\mathcal{R}_R(I)/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R}_R(I)$ is a polynomial ring over R/\mathfrak{m} . In particular, $\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R}_R(I)$ is a prime ideal of $\mathcal{R}_R(I)$. Since $J I^{t-1} \subset \mathfrak{m}I^t \subset \mathfrak{m}$ then $(J, \tilde{J}) \subset \mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R}_R(I)$ as ideals of $\mathcal{R}_R(I)$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J)/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) \simeq \mathcal{R}_R(I)/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R}_R(I)$, hence $\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J)$ is a prime ideal with

$$\dim \mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J)/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) = \dim R = \dim \mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J)$$

by the first part.

(iii) Write $\text{gr}_I(R)$ for the associated graded ring of I . Since $J \subset I^2$, one has $J I^{t-1} \subset I^{t+1}$ for every $t \geq 0$. This yields a surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) \twoheadrightarrow \text{gr}_I(R)$, showing that $\dim \mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) \geq \dim R$. The reverse inequality follows from Proposition 1.6, (a). \square

We wrap up with a comment on the last result. Namely, we actually have

$$\dim \mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) \geq \max\{\ell(I), \dim R/J + 1\}$$

provided I has a regular element modulo J . The interesting case is when $\ell(I) \geq \dim R/J + 1$. If, say, R is catenary and equidimensional, it would entail

$$\dim \mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) \geq \frac{\dim R + 1}{2}.$$

2 Structural properties

In this section one looks more closely at the internal structure of the Aluffi algebra and relate some of the elements of this structure to well-known notions in ideal theory.

2.1 Torsion and minimal primes

By Lemma 1.2 one has

$$\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) \simeq \mathcal{R}_R(I)/(J, \tilde{J})\mathcal{R}_R(I) = \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} I^t/JI^{t-1}.$$

Since $\mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J) = \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} (I^t, J)/J \simeq \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} I^t/J \cap I^t$, the kernel of the natural surjection $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$ is the homogeneous ideal

$$\mathcal{W}_{J \subset I} := \bigoplus_{t \geq 2} \frac{J \cap I^t}{JI^{t-1}}, \quad (2)$$

dubbed as the *module of Valabrega–Valla* (see [13], also [16, 5.1])

We retrieve a result of Valla ([15, Theorem 2.8]):

Corollary 2.1 *Let $J \subset I \subsetneq R$ be ideals of the local ring R . If I/J is of linear type over R/J (e.g., if I is generated by a regular sequence modulo J) then $J \cap I^t = JI^{t-1}$ for every positive integer t .*

Proof. This follows immediately from the structural “squeezing” (1). \square

Note that the assumption in [6, Proposition 3.10] to the effect that I be of linear type over R does not intervene in the above statement.

Here is a useful explicit situation, where we write $I = (J, \mathfrak{a})$, with no particular care for minimal generation.

Proposition 2.2 *Let $I = (J, \mathfrak{a})$. If $J \cap \mathfrak{a}^t \subset J\mathfrak{a}^{t-1}$, for every $t \geq 0$ then $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. One has:

$$J \cap I^t = J \cap (J, \mathfrak{a})^t = J \cap (J(J, \mathfrak{a})^{t-1}, \mathfrak{a}^t) = J(J, \mathfrak{a})^{t-1} + J \cap \mathfrak{a}^t \subset JI^{t-1} + J\mathfrak{a}^{t-1} \subset JI^{t-1}.$$

\square

Remark 2.3 In the notation of the previous proposition, one of the main results of [7] is that if \mathfrak{a} is generated by a d -sequence modulo J then the assumption of the proposition is fulfilled. Therefore, under the hypothesis of [loc.cit.], the surjection $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$ is an isomorphism. This result, however, is a special case of Corollary 2.1 if one uses that an ideal generated by a d -sequence is of linear type. Of course, the proof of this fact requires some non-trivial work on itself and is previous to the later results, such as [6].

When $J = (a)$ is a principal ideal, one has a result somewhat subsumed in the spirit of [15].

Proposition 2.4 *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal in the ring R and let $a \in R$ be an element such that $\mathfrak{a}^t : a = \mathfrak{a}^t$ for every integer $t \geq 0$. Then the inclusion $(a) \subset (a, \mathfrak{a})$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/(a)}((a, \mathfrak{a})/(a)) \simeq \mathcal{R}_{R/(a)}((a, \mathfrak{a})/(a))$.*

Proof. The assumption means that $(a) \cap \mathfrak{a}^t = a\mathfrak{a}^t$ for every $t \geq 0$, hence $(a) \cap (a, \mathfrak{a})^t = a(a, \mathfrak{a})^{t-1} + (a) \cap \mathfrak{a}^t = a(a, \mathfrak{a})^{t-1}$ for $t > 0$. \square

The Valabrega–Valla module gives the torsion in as many cases as the ones in which the Rees algebra is the symmetric algebra modulo torsion.

Proposition 2.5 *Let $J \subset I \subsetneq R$ be ideals of the Noetherian ring R . If I/J has a regular element then the R/J -torsion of the embedded Aluffi algebra of I/J is the kernel of the natural surjection $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$.*

Proof. Consider the general elementary observation: given a ring A and A -modules

$$N \twoheadrightarrow M \twoheadrightarrow K$$

such that the A -torsion of N is the kernel of the composite $N \twoheadrightarrow K$ then the A -torsion of M is the kernel of $M \twoheadrightarrow K$. We apply this to the situation in (1), by recalling that if $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ has a regular element in the ring A then the A -torsion of the symmetric algebra $\mathcal{S}_A(\mathfrak{a})$ is the kernel of the natural surjection $\mathcal{S}_A(\mathfrak{a}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_A(\mathfrak{a})$. \square

Recall that, given a ring S , an ideal $\mathfrak{b} \subset S$ and an S -module E , one denotes by $H_{\mathfrak{b}}^0(E)$ the zeroth local cohomology of E with respect to \mathfrak{b} . One has

$$H_{\mathfrak{b}}^0(E) \simeq E : \mathfrak{b}^\infty := \{ \epsilon \in E \mid \exists n \geq 0, \mathfrak{b}^n \epsilon = \{0\} \}.$$

Corollary 2.6 *Let $J \subset I \subsetneq R$ be ideals of the Noetherian ring R . If I/J has a regular element then $\mathcal{W}_{J \subset I} = H_{I/J}^0(\mathcal{A})$, where \mathcal{A} denotes the Aluffi algebra and $\mathcal{W}_{J \subset I}$ is the Valabrega–Valla module as introduced above.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.5, $\mathcal{W}_{J \subset I}$ is the R/J -torsion of \mathcal{A} . On the other hand, localizing at primes of the base R/J not containing I/J makes the surjection $\mathcal{S}_{R/J}(I/J) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$ an isomorphism, hence also the surjection $\mathcal{A} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$. Therefore, \mathcal{A} is torsionfree locally at those primes. Since I/J has regular elements, the result follows easily (see, e.g., [11, Lemma 5.2]). \square

Remark 2.7 The last result says, in particular, that there exists an integer $k \geq 0$ such that $I^k (J \cap I^t) \subset JI^{t-1}$ for every $t \geq 1$. Later on we will relate such an exponent to the so-called Artin–Rees number.

By the same principle, one can get a hold of the minimal primes of the Aluffi algebra. Quite generally, to any ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset R$ we associate its extended–contracted ideal

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} := \mathfrak{a}R[u] \cap R[Iu] = \sum_{t \geq 0} (\mathfrak{a} \cap I^t) u^t$$

in the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}_R(I) \simeq R[Iu] \subset R[u]$ (u a variable over R).

Proposition 2.8 *Let $J \subset I \subsetneq R$ be ideals of the Noetherian ring R . Any minimal prime \wp of $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J)$ on $\mathcal{R}_R(I)$ is either of the form $\wp = \tilde{p}$ for some minimal prime of R/J on R , or else has the form (q, \wp_+) where $q := \wp \cap R$ contains a minimal prime of R/I on R and $\wp_+ = \wp \cap (Iu)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.6 – rather by its proof – a power of I annihilates the kernel of $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$ lifted to $\mathcal{R}_R(I)$ – call it \mathcal{K} . If $\wp \subset \mathcal{R}_R(I)$ is a minimal prime of $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J)$ it follows that \wp contains either \mathcal{K} or I . In the first case, it contains a minimal prime of $\mathcal{R}_R(I)/\mathcal{K} \simeq \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$ hence must be a minimal prime of the latter on $\mathcal{R}_R(I)$. But, it is well known that the above extending-contracting operation induces a bijection between the minimal primes of R/J on R and the minimal primes of $\mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$ on $\mathcal{R}_R(I)$.

In the case $I \subset \wp$, since \wp is homogeneous in the natural \mathbb{N} -grading of $\mathcal{R}_R(I)$, then it is clear that $\wp = (q, \wp_+)$, where $\wp_+ = \wp \cap \mathcal{R}_R(I)_+$; obviously, q contains a minimal prime of R/I on R . \square

Remark 2.9 Note that if $\wp \subset \mathcal{R}_R(I)$ is a minimal prime of $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J)$ containing I then \wp_+ behaves erratically: it can actually be zero in certain cases (see, e.g., Proposition 1.9, (ii)). On the other hand, its contraction $q \subset R$ may turn out to be an embedded associated prime of R/I and not a minimal one (see Section 3).

Corollary 2.10 *Let $J \subset I \subsetneq R$ be ideals of a Noetherian ring R such that I/J has a regular element. If $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J)$ is equidimensional then*

$$\dim \mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J) = \dim R/J + 1.$$

Proof. This readily follows from Proposition 2.8 and the known value of the dimension of $\mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$ under the present assumption on I/J . \square

Equidimensionality of $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J)$ if J has codimension ≥ 2 may be quite rare. The next result shows that, at least in the local or graded case, pure-dimensionality is really infrequent under this assumption.

Proposition 2.11 *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and its maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} or a standard graded algebra over a field and its maximal irrelevant ideal \mathfrak{m} . Assume that R/\mathfrak{m} is infinite. Let $J \subset I \subset \mathfrak{m}$, with J having a regular element and I having a regular element modulo J . Suppose that:*

- (i) $J \subset I \subset \mathfrak{m}$ is a non-degenerate pair and $\ell(I) = \mu(I) = \dim R$; or else
- (ii) $J \subset I^2$.

If $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J)$ is equidimensional then J has height one. If $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J)$ is pure-dimensional then J is an ideal of pure height one (hence, principal if R is regular).

Proof. By Proposition 2.8, $\mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$ is equidimensional. In particular, one has

$$\dim \mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J) = \dim \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J) = \dim R/J + 1 \leq \dim R - \text{ht } J + 1.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 1.9, (ii) or (iii), $\dim \mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J) = \dim R$. Therefore, $\text{ht } J \leq 1$ (hence $\text{ht } J = 1$ since J has a regular element by hypothesis).

Now, assume that $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J)$ is pure-dimensional. Let $p \in \text{Ass}_R(R/J)$ have height ≥ 2 . By Proposition 2.8 and the pure-dimensionality of $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J)$, the prime \tilde{p} satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J) &= \dim \mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J)/\tilde{p} = \dim \mathcal{R}_R(I)/\tilde{p} = \dim \mathcal{R}_{R/p}((p, I)/p) \\ &= \dim R/p + 1 \leq \dim R - \text{ht } p + 1 \leq \dim R - 2 + 1 = \dim R - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Again Proposition 1.9, (ii) or (iii) gives a contradiction. \square

More difficult is to get hold of non-trivial embedded primes of $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow A}(I/J)$. In the case where J is the ideal of a homogeneous hypersurface in projective space there are often embedded primes containing the irrelevant ideal.

We wrap up with the following

Question 2.12 Suppose as above that $J = (a) \subset \mathfrak{m}I$, $I/(a)$ has a regular element and I has maximal analytic spread. To what extent can we assert that, conversely, $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow A}(I/J)$ is pure-dimensional?

This seems to be the case in a variety of situations such as the one considered in Section 3.

2.2 Relation to the Artin–Rees number

A close associate to $\mathcal{W}_{J \subset I}$ is the well-known ideal

$$\ker(\mathrm{gr}_I(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{I/J}(R/J)) = \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} (I^{t+1} + J \cap I^t) / I^{t+1},$$

generated by the I -initial forms of elements of J . Recall that the I -initial form of an element $a \in R$ is the residue class a^* of a in $I^{\nu(a)} / I^{\nu(a)+1}$ where $\nu(a)$ is the I th order of a (i.e., $a \in I^{\nu(a)} \setminus I^{\nu(a)+1}$, setting $\nu(a) = \infty$ and $a^* = 0$ if $a \in \bigcap_{t \geq 0} I^t$).

A set of elements of J is called an *I -standard base* of J if their initial forms in $\mathrm{gr}_I(R)$ generate the above ideal. If R is Noetherian local then an I -standard base of J is a generating set of J (see [5, Lemma 6]). We will shorten $\nu(a_i)$ to ν_i if a_i is sufficiently clear from the context.

The following basic result will be used throughout.

Theorem 2.13 ([13]) *Let $J = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ be an ideal of ring R . Then $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ is an I -standard base of J if and only if*

$$J \cap I^t = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i I^{t-\nu_i}$$

for every positive integer t .

This result implies immediately:

Proposition 2.14 *Let R be a Noetherian local ring and $J \subset I$ be ideals of R . Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ be an I -standard base of J such that $1 \leq \nu_1 \leq \nu_2 \leq \dots \leq \nu_m$.*

(a) *The surjection $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$ is an isomorphism if and only if $\nu_m = 1$ (i.e., $\nu_1 = \dots = \nu_m = 1$)*

(b) *More generally*

$$\mathfrak{R} \cap I^{\nu_m-1} \mathcal{R}_R(I) \subset \mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{R} \cap I^{\nu_1-1} \mathcal{R}_R(I),$$

where $\mathfrak{R} = \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} J \cap I^t$ and $\mathfrak{A} = \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} J I^{t-1}$.

- (c) If $\nu_1 > 1$ and J is not contained in any minimal prime of R , then $\dim \mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J) = \dim R$.

Proof. (a) One direction is obvious from Theorem 2.13. For the reverse implication, assume that $J \cap I^t = JI^{t-1}$ for every positive integer t . By the above remark, one may assume that a_1, \dots, a_m is a minimal set of generators of J . If for some i , $a_i \in I^2$ then by hypothesis $a_i \in JI$, which clearly contradicts the minimality of a_1, \dots, a_m .

(b) By definition, we want to show the two inclusions

$$J \cap I^{t+\nu_m-1} \subset JI^{t-1} \subset J \cap I^{t+\nu_1-1}$$

as subideals of $J \cap I^t$, for every $t \geq 1$.

This is however a straightforward consequence of Theorem 2.13 as one has thereof

$$J \cap I^{\nu_m+t-1} = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i I^{\nu_m+t-1-\nu_i} \subset \sum_{i=1}^m a_i I^{t-1} = JI^{t-1},$$

and similarly

$$J \cap I^{\nu_1+t-1} = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i I^{\nu_1+t-1-\nu_i} \supset \sum_{i=1}^m a_i I^{t-1} = JI^{t-1}.$$

(c) Quite generally, for a positive integer r one has

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{R}_R(I)/\mathfrak{R} \cap I^r \mathcal{R}_R(I) &\geq \max\{\dim \mathcal{R}_R(I)/\mathfrak{R}, \dim \mathcal{R}_R(I)/I^r \mathcal{R}_R(I)\} \\ &= \max\{\dim \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J), \dim \operatorname{gr}_I(R)\} = \dim R. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since J is assumed to be of positive height, by Proposition 1.6 (a) one has $\dim \mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J) \leq \dim R$. The result follows now at once. \square

We close with yet another condition for the surjection $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$ to be an isomorphism.

For this recall that, pretty generally, given ideals $J, I \subset R$ the *Artin–Rees number* of J relative to I is the integer

$$\min\{k \geq 0 \mid J \cap I^t = (J \cap I^k)I^{t-k} \forall t \geq k\}.$$

We observe that if $J \subset I \subsetneq R$, where R is Noetherian and J has regular elements then the Artin–Rees number of J relative to I is ≥ 1 .

Proposition 2.15 *Let $J \subset I \subsetneq R$ be ideals of a Noetherian ring R and let $k \geq 1$ be an upper bound for the Artin–Rees number of J relative to I , i.e., $J \cap I^t = (J \cap I^k)I^{t-k} \forall t \geq k$.*

Then I^{k-1} annihilates the kernel of the surjection $\mathcal{A}_{R \rightarrow R/J}(I/J) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$. Moreover, the latter is an isomorphism if and only if the Artin–Rees number of J relative to I is 1.

Proof. One has $(J \cap I^t)I^{k-1} = (J \cap I^k)I^{t-k}I^{k-1} = (J \cap I^k)I^{t-1} \subset JI^{t-1}$ for $t \geq k$. On the other hand, for $t \leq k-1$, one has $I^{k-1} \subset I^{t-1}$, hence $(J \cap I^t)I^{k-1} \subset (J \cap I^t)I^{t-1} \subset JI^{t-1}$.

The second assertion is clear. \square

More generally:

Lemma 2.16 *Let $J \subset I \subset R$ be ideals of a ring R . Assume that ℓ is an upper bound for the Artin–Rees number of J relative to I such that $J \cap I^t = JI^{t-1}$ for every $t \leq \ell$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By assumption $J \cap I^t = I^{t-\ell}(J \cap I^\ell)$ for $t \geq \ell$. Now use the assumed equality $J \cap I^\ell = JI^{\ell-1}$ to get $J \cap I^t = JI^{t-1}$ for $t \geq \ell$. \square

Given a ring A and an ideal $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \subset A$, one lets $R[T_1, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_R(\mathfrak{a}) = R[\mathfrak{a}T]$ be the graded map sending T_i to $a_i T$. The *relation type* of \mathfrak{a} is the largest degree of any minimal system of homogeneous generators of the kernel \mathcal{J} . Since the isomorphism $R[T_1, \dots, T_n]/\mathcal{J} \simeq \mathcal{R}_R(\mathfrak{a})$ is graded, an application of the Schanuel lemma to the graded pieces shows that the notion is independent of the set of generators of \mathfrak{a} .

Corollary 2.17 *Let R be a Noetherian ring and let $J \subset I$ be ideals in R such that*

- (i) *I/J has relation type at most ℓ as an ideal of R/J .*
- (ii) *$J \cap I^t = JI^{t-1}$ for every $t \leq \ell$.*

Then $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. By Lemma 2.16, it suffices to show that ℓ is an upper bound for the Artin–Rees number of J relative to I . Thus, Let I be generated by elements a_1, \dots, a_n and let let $a \in J \cap I^t$, with $t \geq \ell$. Then there exists a homogeneous $F \in R[\mathbf{T}] = R[T_1, \dots, T_n]$, of degree t , such that $F(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a$. Since $a \in J$, reducing modulo J shows that F is a polynomial relation on a_1, \dots, a_n modulo J . Then, by assumption there are polynomials $G_i, H_i \in R[\mathbf{T}]$ of degrees $\ell, t - \ell$, respectively, such that $G_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{JR[\mathbf{T}]}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $F \equiv \sum_i G_i H_i \pmod{JR[\mathbf{T}]}$. Write $F = \sum G_i H_i + L$ for some homogeneous polynomial $L \in JR[\mathbf{T}]$ of degree t . Since

$$L(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in JI^t \subset I^{t-\ell}(J \cap I^\ell),$$

then $G_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in J \cap I^\ell$ and $H_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in I^{t-\ell}$ for $t \geq \ell$. This shows that the element $a = F(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in I^{t-\ell}(J \cap I^\ell)$, that is, $J \cap I^t = (J \cap I^\ell)I^{t-\ell}$ for $t \geq \ell$. \square

Using the notion of standard base we can add a tiny bit on the problem of describing the associated primes of the Aluffi algebra.

Proposition 2.18 *Let $J \subset I \subsetneq R$ be ideals of a Noetherian ring R such that I/J has a regular element. If $p \in \text{Ass}_R(R/J)$ then $\tilde{p} \in \text{Ass}_{\mathcal{R}_R(I)}(\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J))$.*

Proof. Let $p \in \text{Ass}_R(R/J)$. Since I/J contains a regular element, one has $I \not\subset p$. Let a_1, \dots, a_m be an I -standard base of p , so that $p = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ and

$$\tilde{p} = \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} p \cap I^t = \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i I^{t-\nu_i} \right),$$

where $\nu_i = \nu_I(a_i)$. Write $\nu = \max_i \nu_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and take $b \in I^{\nu-1} \setminus p$ (note that if $\nu = 0$, which is a possibility, one means any $b \notin p$).

Write $\mathfrak{R} = \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} J \cap I^t$ and $\mathfrak{A} = \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} JI^{t-1}$.

Say, $p = J : a$. We claim that $\tilde{p} = \mathfrak{A} : ab$ which will prove that \tilde{p} is an associated prime of $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/J}(I/J)$ on $\mathcal{R}_R(I)$.

For this, let $c_t \in J \cap I^t \subset p \cap I^t = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i I^{t-\nu_i}$, with $t \geq 0$. Then

$$bc_t \in \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b I^{t-\nu_i} \subset \sum_{i=1}^m a_i I^{t-\nu_i+\nu-1} \subset \sum_{i=1}^m a_i I^{t-1} = p I^{t-1} = (J : a) I^{t-1} \subset J I^{t-1} : a,$$

hence $b\tilde{p} \subset \mathfrak{A} : a$, hence $\tilde{p} \subset \mathfrak{A} : ab$.

For the inverse inclusion, since $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{R}$, it follows that $\mathfrak{A} : ab \subset \mathfrak{R} : ab = (\mathfrak{R} : a) : b$. Note that $\widetilde{J : a} = (J : a)R[t] \cap \mathcal{R}_R(I) = (JR[t] : a) \cap \mathcal{R}_R(I) = (JR[t] \cap \mathcal{R}_R(I)) : a = \mathfrak{R} : a$.

Therefore $\mathfrak{A} : ba \subset \tilde{p} : b = \tilde{p}$. Thus, $\tilde{p} = \mathfrak{A} : ab$ as was to be shown. \square

2.3 Selected examples

Let us agree to call a pair of ideals $J \subset I \subset R$ an \mathcal{A} -torsionfree pair if the map $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow A}(I/J) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R/J}(I/J)$ is injective.

The examples we have in mind in this part are of the two sorts mentioned previously, namely, of totally degenerate or non-degenerate pairs. The first kind will be based on Proposition 2.2. For these, we let $R = k[\mathbf{X}]$ be an \mathbb{N} -graded polynomial ring over a field k , $J \subset R$ is a homogeneous ideal and $I \subset R$ is the Jacobian ideal of J , by which we always mean the ideal $(J, \mathcal{I}_r(\Theta))$ where $r = \text{ht}(J)$ and Θ stands for the Jacobian matrix of a set of generators of J . One knows that this maybe a slack ideal, but it is well defined modulo J .

First we state a general format that implies an \mathcal{A} -torsionfree pair $J \subset I$.

Example 2.19 Let $J \subset R = k[\mathbf{x}]$ be an ideal generated by forms of the same degree $d \geq 1$. If $I = (J, \mathfrak{m}^r)$, where $\mathfrak{m} = (\mathbf{x})$ and $r \geq d$, then the pair $J \subset I$ is \mathcal{A} -torsionfree.

To see this, one uses Proposition 2.2. Namely, it suffices to show that $J \cap \mathfrak{m}^{rt} \subset J\mathfrak{m}^{r(t-1)}$ for every $t \geq 1$. Let a_1, \dots, a_m be generators of J of degree d and let F be a form in the a_i 's such that $F \in \mathfrak{m}^{rt}$. Then $F = \sum_{i=1}^m G_i a_i$ where $G_i = \sum a_{\underline{\alpha}} \mathbf{x}^{\underline{\alpha}} \in R_{rt-d+\delta}$ for $\delta \geq 0$, since $R_{rt-d+\delta} = R_{r-d+\delta} R_{rt-r}$, so we can rewrite G_i as

$$G_i = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=r-d+\delta \\ |\beta|=rt-r}} a_{\alpha,\beta} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha+\beta}, \quad \text{hence} \quad F = \sum_{|\alpha|=r-d+\delta} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha} \left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ |\beta|=rt-r}}^s (\mathbf{x}^{\beta}) a_i \right)$$

Therefore $F \in J\mathfrak{m}^{rt-r} = J\mathfrak{m}^{r(t-1)}$, as required.

Instances of this situation seem to be any of the following ideals J with respect to the respective Jacobian ideal I .

- (a) The defining ideal of the rational normal curve;
- (b) The defining ideal of the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^r \times \mathbb{P}^s$, with $r > 1$ or $s > 1$;
- (c) The defining ideal of the 2-Veronese embedding of a projective space;

(d) The ideal generated by the 4×4 Pfaffians.

It is well-known that J is the ideal of 2-minors of the generic Hankel, square, symmetric matrix, respectively, and, lastly, the ideal generated by the maximal Pfaffians of a 5×5 skew-symmetric.

Let I denote the Jacobian ideal of J on R . Set $\mathfrak{m} = (\mathbf{x})$ and write $\text{ht } J = r \geq 2$. We would need to prove that $I_r(\Theta) = \mathfrak{m}^r$, where Θ is the Jacobian matrix of the natural generators of J . A calculation of a good deal of cases gives evidence to this equality – actually, it may be the expression of a more general fact disguised under an inductive procedure.

Note that the case of the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is exceptional, essentially because it is a hypersurface. Here the ideal of 1-minors is \mathbf{x} which is of linear type, but clearly the defining ideal of the relative blowup contains the equation of the dual to the (self-dual) quadric surface.

Example 2.20 Consider the monomial $x_1 \cdots x_n \in R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ($n \geq 3$) and let $J \subset R$ be the ideal generated by its partial derivatives $a_i =: x_1 x_2 \cdots \widehat{x_i} \cdots x_n$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. If I is the Jacobian ideal of J the pair $J \subset I$ is \mathcal{A} -torsionfree.

Proof. Its well-known and easy that J is a codimension 2 perfect ideal with Hilbert–Burch matrix

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & x_{n-2} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x_{n-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -x_n & -x_n & -x_n & \cdots & -x_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Setting $\Delta_{i,j} := \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial x_i} = x_1 x_2 \cdots \widehat{x_i} \cdots \widehat{x_j} \cdots x_n$, and inspecting the Hessian matrix Θ of $x_1 \cdots x_n$ – a symmetric matrix – one finds three basic types of 2×2 minors, namely

- Principal minors:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Delta_{i,j} \\ \Delta_{i,j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \Delta_{i,j}^2,$$

one for each pair $i < j$;

- vanishing minors:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{i,j} & \Delta_{i,j'} \\ \Delta_{i',j} & \Delta_{i',j'} \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

one for each choice of row indices $1 \leq i, i' \leq n$ and column indices $1 \leq j, j' \leq n$;

- semidiagonal minors of typical form

$$\Lambda_j := \det \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{i,j} & 0 \\ * & \Delta_{i',i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since clearly, $\Lambda_j \in J$, we get that the Jacobian ideal I of J is generated by J and the squares of the second partial derivatives of $x_1 \cdots x_n$, i.e., $I = (J, \Delta_{i,j}^2)$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

As a side curiosity we note that $I = (J, \mathcal{I}_2(\Theta)) = (J, \mathcal{I}_{n-2}(\varphi)^2)$, hence $\sqrt{I} = \mathcal{I}_{n-2}(\varphi)$, so in particular I/J has codimension one. This example will therefore yield a case of a height one ideal in R/J which is \mathcal{A} -torsionfree, but clearly not of linear type because its number of generators on R/J is too large.

Setting $\Delta = (\Delta_{i,j}^2 \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n)$, the usual algorithmic procedure to find generators of the intersection of monomial ideals yields for any $t \geq 2$

$$J \cap \Delta^t = ((x_i, x_j) \Delta_{i,j}^{2t}, (\mathfrak{F}))$$

where \mathfrak{F} is the set of all monomials in Δ^t excluding the monomials $\Delta_{i,j}^{2t}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Another calculation shows that $(x_i, x_j) \Delta_{i,j}^{2t} \in J \Delta^{t-1}$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and that $\mathfrak{F} \subset J^2 \Delta^{t-2}$. This proves that $J \cap \Delta^t \subset J I^{t-1}$. \square

Question 2.21 (k algebraically closed) Let $J \subset R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ denote the homogeneous defining ideal of an arrangement of linear subspaces of dimension $n - 3$ of \mathbb{A}^n . If I is the Jacobian ideal of J , when is $J \subset I$ an \mathcal{A} -torsionfree pair?

Plausibly, a similar question can be posed about the Jacobian ideal of a hyperplane arrangement.

Example 2.22 Let $J \subset R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ denote the ideal of the coordinate points in projective space \mathbb{P}^{n-1} , i.e., $J = (x_i x_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n)$. If I is the Jacobian ideal of J the pair $J \subset I$ is \mathcal{A} -torsionfree.

Proof. Since J contains all square-free monomials of degree 2, it is rather transparent that the Jacobian ideal I of J is generated by J and pure powers of the variables. Moreover, a closer inspection shows that, more precisely,

$$I = (J, x_1^{n-1}, \dots, x_n^{n-1}).$$

Setting $\Delta := (x_1^{n-1}, \dots, x_n^{n-1})$, a procedure based on finding generators of the intersection of monomial ideals yields for any $t \geq 2$

$$J \cap \Delta^t = (x_i^{t(n-1)}(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, x_n), (\mathfrak{F})),$$

where (\mathfrak{F}) is the set of all monomials in Δ^t excluding the monomials $x_i^{t(n-1)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. A calculation shows that $x_i^{t(n-1)}(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, x_n) \in J \Delta^{n-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and that $(\mathfrak{F}) \subset J^2 \Delta^{t-2}$. This proves that $J \cap \Delta^t \subset J I^{t-1}$.

Example 2.23 Let $J \subset R = k[x, y, z]$ denote the homogeneous defining ideal of the four points $(1 : 0 : 0), (0 : 1 : 0), (0 : 0 : 1)$ and $(1 : 1 : 1)$ in the projective plane \mathbb{P}_k^2 and let I denote its Jacobian ideal. An easy calculation gives

$$J = (x^2 - xz, y^2 - yz) \quad \text{and} \quad I = (x^2 - xz, y^2 - yz, x(2y - z), y(2x - z), (2x - z)(2y - z)).$$

In terms of the internal grading of the algebra, using the description in Proposition 2.5, the torsion is generated by the appropriate residues of $\{xz^2(x - z), yz^2(y - z)\} \subset J \cap I^2$. For further details see [10, 1.1].

The last two examples motivate the following

Question 2.24 (k algebraically closed) Let $J \subset R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ denote a radical homogeneous ideal of codimension $n - 1$ (i.e., the ideal of a reduced set of points). If I is the Jacobian ideal of J , when is $J \subset I$ an \mathcal{A} -torsionfree pair?

3 The gradient Aluffi algebra of a projective hypersurface

In this section we will deal with the case where J is generated by the equation of a reduced hypersurface.

Thus, let $J = (f) \subset R$ be a principal ideal, where $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. We will focus on the Jacobian ideal $I_f = (f, \partial f / \partial X_1, \dots, \partial f / \partial X_n)$. We are particularly motivated by the problem as to when I_f is an ideal of linear type. In general, if f is not Eulerian, the local number of generators of I_f maybe an early obstruction to this property. We will consider the case where f is homogeneous in the standard grading of the polynomial ring and its degree is not a multiple of the characteristic – hence, $f \in I_f$. In this context the ideal I_f will often be of linear type.

We call I_f the *gradient* ideal of f and the corresponding algebra $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/(f)}(I_f/(f))$, the *gradient Aluffi algebra* of f . By Proposition 1.6 (d), it is equidimensional of dimension $\dim R = n$.

3.1 Preliminaries on the gradient ideal

If f defines a smooth hypersurface then I_f is irrelevant, i.e., is generated by a regular sequence, hence is of linear type. We regard this case as uninteresting and assume that f has singularities. This entails $\text{ht}(I_f/(f)) \leq n - 2$. If moreover f is reduced then $\text{ht}(I_f/(f)) \geq 1$. For $n = 3$ we therefore find $\text{ht}(I_f/(f)) = 1$. Ideals of height 1 in non-regular rings of dimension 2 are a tall order and typically involve a non-trivial primary decomposition.

Thus, even over projective plane curves the structure of the gradient Aluffi algebra seems to be fairly intricate. Note that for $n = 3$, the ideal I_f is an almost complete intersection. Since we regard the linear type case as a limit situation we would like to understand this case first.

Now, for an almost complete intersection this property is fairly under control. For convenience we file the following general result, which collects in a more detailed version several known facts about an almost complete intersection (see [12, Proposition 3.7], also [6, Proposition 8.4, Proposition 10.4, Remark 10.5]).

Lemma 3.1 *Let R denote a Cohen–Macaulay local ring and let $I \subset R$ denote a proper ideal of height $h \geq 0$. Assume that*

- *I is a strict almost complete intersection (i.e., minimally generated by $h + 1$ elements)*
- *R/I is equidimensional (i.e., $\dim R/I = \dim R/P$ for every minimal prime P of R/I)*
- *I satisfies the so-called sliding depth inequality $\text{depth } R/I \geq \dim R/I - 1$.*

Let $R^m \xrightarrow{\varphi} R^{h+1} \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow 0$ stand for a minimal free presentation of I as an R -module. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $\text{ht } I_1(\varphi) \geq \text{ht } I + 1$
- (2) I_P is a complete intersection for every minimal prime P of R/I
- (3) I is of linear type.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Localizing at such a prime leaves some element of $I_1(\varphi)$ invertible, so up to an elementary transformation on φ_P the local presentation has the form

$$R_P^{m-1} \oplus R_P \xrightarrow{\varphi_P} R_P^h \oplus R_P \longrightarrow I_P \longrightarrow 0,$$

with

$$\varphi_P = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \psi \end{array} \right)$$

Therefore, we get a free presentation $R_P^{m-1} \xrightarrow{\psi} R_P^h \longrightarrow I_P \longrightarrow 0$, thus showing that I_P is generated by (at most) h elements.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) By [6, Proposition 10.4] the symmetric algebra of I is a Cohen–Macaulay ring. Therefore, by [6, Proposition 8.4] it suffices to show that $\text{ht } I_Q \leq \text{ht } Q$ for every prime $Q \subset R$ containing I . Let P be a minimal prime of R/I contained in Q . If $P = Q$ the hypothesis guarantees the inequality. Otherwise $\text{ht } (Q) \geq h + 1$. But $\text{ht } (I_Q) = \text{ht } I_P = h$ because R/I is equidimensional, hence we are through.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) By [6, Lemma 8.2 and Proposition 8.4] one has $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) \geq \text{rank}(\varphi) - t + 2$ for every $1 \leq t \leq \text{rank}(\varphi) = h$. In particular, $\text{ht } I_1(\varphi) \geq h - 1 + 2 = \text{ht } I + 1$. □

Corollary 3.2 *Let $f \in R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ stand for a reduced homogeneous polynomial. Assume that the singular locus of $V(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ consists of a nonempty set of points. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The coordinates of the vector fields of \mathbb{P}^{n-1} vanishing on f generate an irrelevant ideal.*
- (2) *Locally at each singular point of $V(f)$ the gradient ideal is a complete intersection.*
- (3) *The gradient ideal of f is of linear type.*

Proof. A vector field $v = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \partial / \partial x_i$ vanishes on f if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \partial f / \partial x_i = 0$. Therefore the coordinates of all such vector fields generate the ideal of 1-minors of a syzygy matrix of the gradient ideal. The result then follows from Lemma 3.1 once its hypotheses are verified in this setup, as we next proceed to see.

Since f is assumed to be reduced, whose singular locus is a nonempty set of isolated singularities, its gradient ideal is a (homogeneous) ideal of codimension $n - 1$, hence can only have minimal primes of codimension $n - 1$ in R . Therefore it is equidimensional.

Finally, the depth condition is trivially verified for the numbers in question. □

So much for the linear type property. Clearly, this property implies that the partial derivatives are algebraically independent over k . The latter property in turn reads geometrically to the effect that the polar map associated to the hypersurface $V(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is

dominant. In characteristic zero this is tantamount to saying that the Hessian of f does not vanish (cf. [4] for a detailed account on this).

The following result collects parts of the main backstage for the Aluffi gradient algebra.

Theorem 3.3 *Let k denote an infinite field, let $f \in R = k[\mathbf{x}] = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a reduced homogeneous polynomial whose degree is not a multiple of the characteristic of k and let $I_f \subset R$ denote the corresponding gradient ideal. Assume that*

- (i) *The singular locus of $V(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ consists of a nonempty set of points (equivalently, $\dim R/I_f = 1$)*
- (ii) *The partial derivatives of f are algebraically independent over k .*

Then:

- (a) *The minimal primes of the gradient Aluffi algebra on $\mathcal{R}_R(I_f)$ are*
 - *The minimal prime ideals of $\mathcal{R}_{R/(f)}(I_f/(f))$, all of the form $\sum_{t \geq 0} (p) \cap I^t$ for a prime factor p of f*
 - *The extended ideal $(\mathbf{x})\mathcal{R}_R(I_f)$*
 - *Prime ideals whose lifting to $R[\mathbf{T}] = R[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ from a presentation $R[\mathbf{T}]/\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{R}_R(I)$ have the form (P, \mathfrak{f}) , where $P \subset R$ is a minimal prime of R/I_f and \mathfrak{f} is an irreducible homogeneous polynomial in $k[\mathbf{T}]$.*
- (b) *The gradient Aluffi algebra has non-trivial torsion.*
- (c) *I_f is an ideal of linear type (respectively, weakly of linear type) if and only if the natural surjection*

$$\mathcal{S}_{R/(f)}(I_f/(f)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/(f)}(I_f/(f))$$
is an isomorphism (respectively, an isomorphism in all high degrees).
- (d) *The symmetric algebra $\mathcal{S}_{R/(f)}(I_f/(f))$ is Cohen–Macaulay; in particular, if I_f is of linear type then the gradient Aluffi algebra is Cohen–Macaulay.*

Proof. (a) We apply Proposition 2.8, from which the first set of minimal primes is clear.

To see that $(\mathbf{x})\mathcal{R}_R(I_f)$ is a minimal prime thereof one proceeds as follows. There is a presentation of the gradient Aluffi algebra

$$\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/(f)}(I_f/(f)) \simeq R[\mathbf{T}]/(\mathcal{J}_f, f, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i T_i), \quad (3)$$

where \mathcal{J}_f denotes the defining ideal of the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}_R(I_f)$ on $R[\mathbf{T}]$.

Since the partials are homogeneous of the same degree algebraic independence over k is tantamount to analytic independence (i.e., the relations of the generators of I_f have coefficients in the ideal (\mathbf{x})). Therefore, the result follows from Proposition 1.9, (ii).

Let \mathcal{P} be a minimal prime of $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/(f)}(I_f/(f))$ whose contraction P to R contains I_f and is properly contained in (\mathbf{x}) . By Proposition 2.8, $\mathcal{P} = (P, \mathcal{P}_+)$. By assumption (i) it follows that P is a minimal prime of R/I_f , hence has height $n - 1$. By Theorem 1.8,

$\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/(f)}(I_f/(f))$ is equidimensional. Therefore the lifting of \mathcal{P} to $R[\mathbf{T}]$ has height n . Since the lifting of any minimal generator of (\mathcal{P}_+) is irreducible in $k[\mathbf{T}]$ it follows that the lifting of \mathcal{P} to $R[\mathbf{T}]$ has the required form.

(b) The defining equation of the dual curve to f belongs to the presentation ideal of $\mathcal{R}_{R/(f)}(I_f/(f))$ on $R[\mathbf{T}]$ and not to $(\mathbf{x})R[\mathbf{T}]$, hence by (a) it does not belong to the defining ideal of $\mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/(f)}(I_f/(f))$ on $R[\mathbf{T}]$.

(c) This is a straightforward application of Proposition 1.4. The argument for the weak version of the property of being of linear type is exactly the same as in [loc.cit.].

(d) We apply the criterion of [6, Theorem 10.1]. Namely, we have to verify the following conditions:

- (A) $\mu(I_f/(f)_{P/(f)}) \leq \text{ht}(P/(f)) + 1 = \text{ht } P$, for every prime ideal $P \supset I_f$ of R .
- (B) $\text{depth}(H_i)_{P/(f)} \geq \text{ht}(P/(f)) - \mu(I_f/(f)_{P/(f)}) + i = \text{ht } P - \mu(I_f/(f)_{P/(f)}) + i - 1$, for every prime ideal $P \supset I_f$ of R and every i such that $0 \leq i \leq \mu(I_f/(f)_{P/(f)}) - \text{ht}(I_f/(f)_{P/(f)})$, and where H_i denotes the i th Koszul homology module of the partial derivatives on $R/(f)$.

Note that the primes containing I_f are $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and its minimal primes, the latter all of height $n - 1$.

(A) Since I_f itself is generated by n elements, it suffices to check the minimal primes. Thus, let $P \subset R$ be such a prime. Say, without loss of generality, that $x_n \notin P$. Because of the Euler relation, $\partial f / \partial x_n \in I_f$ locally at P and module (f) . Therefore, locally at P and module (f) , I_f is generated by $n - 1 = \text{ht}(P)$ elements.

(B) If P is a minimal prime of I_f we saw in (A) that $\mu(I_f/(f)_{P/(f)}) = n - 1$. Since $\text{ht } P = n - 1$, the condition is trivially verified as $i = 0, 1$.

Thus, let $P = \mathfrak{m}$. Again, an easy inspection of the numbers tell us that only the case where $i = 2$ needs an argument and, in this case, one has to prove that $\text{depth}(H_2)_{\mathfrak{m}/(f)} \geq 1$. Localize R at \mathfrak{m} and update the notation, so $R := R_{\mathfrak{m}} \supset I_f := I_{f_{\mathfrak{m}}} \supset (f) = (f)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $H_2 := (H_2)_{P/(f)}$.

Now, f is a nonzero element in I_f and I_f has grade $n - 1$ in R . Thus, there is a regular sequence in I_f of length $n - 1$ starting with f . Write $L \subset I_f$ for the ideal generated by this regular sequence.

The following isomorphism is well known (see, e.g., [3, Theorem 1.6.16]):

$$H_2 \simeq \text{Hom}_{R/(f)} \left(\frac{R/(f)}{I_f/(f)}, \frac{R/(f)}{L/(f)} \right) \simeq \text{Hom}_{R/(f)}(R/I_f, R/L).$$

Therefore $\text{Ass}_{R/(f)}(H_2) = \text{Supp}_{R/(f)}(R/I_f) \cap \text{Ass}_{R/(f)}(R/L) \subset \text{Ass}_{R/(f)}(R/L)$. But L is generated by a regular sequence of length $n - 2$ modulo f by construction, while $\dim R/(f) = n - 1$. It follows that $\mathfrak{m}/(f) \notin \text{Ass}_{R/(f)}(R/(J, f))$, hence $\mathfrak{m}/(f) \notin \text{Ass}_{R/(f)}(H_2)$. \square

Remark 3.4 For $n \leq 4$, if the partial derivatives are k -linearly independent then the result of Gordan–Hesse–Noether implies that they are algebraically independent over k (see [4, Proposition 2.7] for a proof of the case $n = 3$ based on an observation of Zak). Thus, the assumption in this range is just linear independence.

As to (c), it's valid with no restriction when f is reduced since the defining equations of the dual variety to the hypersurface $V(f)$ belong to the presentation ideal \mathcal{A}_f and, moreover, the ideal generated by these contains properly the defining ideal of the polar map of $V(f)$ (see [4, Remark 2.4]).

Example 3.5 Here is a simple illustration. Let $f = x^2y^2 + x^2z^2 + y^2z^2$, the equation of a plane quartic with 3 ordinary nodes. The minimal primes of the corresponding gradient Aluffi algebra, lifted to $k[x, y, z, T, U, V]$, are $(x, y, z)k[x, y, z, T, U, V]$, (x, y, V) , (x, z, U) , (y, z, T) and its lifted torsion. Since I_f is of linear type (see next section), these are of course the minimal primes of the symmetric algebra $\mathcal{S}_{R/(f)}(I_f/(f))$.

3.2 Gradient ideals of linear type along a family

In general, the gradient ideal I_f will not be of linear type. This subsection will prepare the ground to showing that if f is the equation of an irreducible plane rational *quartic* then I_f is an ideal of linear type.

We can immediately show simple cases of rational plane quintics whose corresponding gradient ideals are not of linear type. Moreover, though the corresponding gradient Aluffi algebras are equidimensional, they tend to behave quite erratically from the viewpoint of the Cohen–Macaulay locus and of the associated primes. It is apparent that this behavior reflects the nature of the singularities, but it is in general quite mysterious.

Example 3.6 Let $f = y^4z + x^5 + x^3y^2$. Then $I_f = (x^2(5x^2 + 3y^2), y(2x^3 + 4y^2z), y^4)$. Canceling the common factor among the last two generators, gives rise to the obvious Koszul relation. From this it immediately follows that the radical of the ideal generated by the coordinates of the syzygies of I_f has x, y among its minimal generators. The rest follows by inspection, as it is not difficult to verify that no syzygy coordinate has as term a pure z -power. By Corollary 3.2, I_f is not of linear type.

Of course everything in this example is easily obtained by machine computation. The three algebras $\mathcal{S}_{R/(f)}(I_f/(f)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{R \twoheadrightarrow R/(f)}(I_f/(f)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R/(f)}(I_f/(f))$ are all distinct, but of the same dimension. The leftmost is Cohen–Macaulay, while the Aluffi algebra has no embedded primes though it is not Cohen–Macaulay.

Now let $f = zy^2(x^2 + y^2) + x^5 + y^5 + x^3y^2$. Here the symmetric algebra is Cohen–Macaulay, while the Aluffi algebra has embedded primes.

In this part we study families of singular plane curves and a certain “partial” gradient ideal for the linear type property and the corresponding Aluffi algebra. We start by making clear what we mean by a family for our purposes. Note that the considerations that follow work *ipsis litteris* for hypersurfaces.

Let $k[\mathbf{u}] = k[u_1, \dots, u_m]$ stand for a polynomial ring over the field k and let $F \in S := k[\mathbf{u}][x, y, z]$ denote a polynomial which is a form on x, y, z . We give S the structure of standard graded ring over $k[\mathbf{u}]$. The basic assumption is that the content of F with respect to the \mathbf{u} -coefficients is 1. Then F is a non-zero-divisor on $k[\mathbf{u}]/I$ for every ideal $I \subset k[\mathbf{u}]$, hence $\mathrm{Tor}_{k[\mathbf{u}]}^1(k[\mathbf{u}]/I, k[\mathbf{u}][x, y, z]/(F)) = \{0\}$ for any such ideal. This gives that the inclusion $k[\mathbf{u}] \subset k[\mathbf{u}][x, y, z]/(F)$ is flat, hence defines a family of curves in \mathbb{P}^2 over the parameters \mathbf{u} .

Thus, we speak of a *family of plane curves* over the *parameters* \mathbf{u} when referring to this setup. We will of course adhere to the terminology of calling *general member* of the family the equation of the plane curve obtained by substituting general values in k for \mathbf{u} . Moreover, our interest lies on the case where the general member of the family is a reduced singular plane curve. In this case we speak of a *family of plane singular curves*.

In the sequel we will assume moreover that $m \leq \binom{d+2}{2} - 1$, where d is the (homogeneous) degree of F in x, y, z and that F has the form

$$F = \varphi_0(x, y, z) + \sum_{j=1}^m u_j \varphi_j(x, y, z), \quad (4)$$

where $\{\varphi_j(x, y, z) \mid 0 \leq j \leq m\}$ is a set of monomials of degree d in x, y, z , and $\varphi_0(x, y, z) \neq 0$.

Note that the form of F depends on the singular points of the general member. Thus, it makes sense to speak about a *normal form* or *canonical form* of F depending on this singular locus. Our convention is that such a normal form is to be obtained through projective transformations applied to the x, y, z -coordinates allowing coefficients from $k[\mathbf{u}]$. Besides, in order to account for *degeneration of singularities* of the general member we need correspondingly to consider certain *degeneration ideals* in the parameter ring $k[\mathbf{u}]$.

Write

$$F \equiv \varphi_0(x, y, z) + \psi(x, y, z, u_1, \dots, u_m),$$

as in (4), where $\varphi_0(x, y, z)$ involves the singularity type in terms of the projectivized tangent cones on suitable affine pieces.

Example 3.7 Let us write a normal form for the family of irreducible singular quartic plane curves such that the singular locus of the general member consists of one simple node - note that at this point it is not totally clear that there exists at all such a family in the sense we established, since we must first obtain some $F \in S$ that works. By projectivities one can assume that the node is $P = (0 : 0 : 1)$ and the tangent cone at $z \neq 0$ has equation xy . Since the general member ought to vanish at P then we may omit the terms in z^4, z^3x and z^3y . Thus, an intermediate step towards a normal form is

$$F = xyz^2 + u_1x^3z + u_2x^2yz + u_3xy^2z + u_4y^3z + u_5x^4 + u_6x^3y + u_7x^2y^2 + u_8xy^3 + u_9y^4.$$

We can see that the specialization of F by k -values factors properly if both u_1 and u_5 have vanishing k -values; similarly, if both u_4 and u_9 have vanishing k -values. Thus, for writing a normal form we may incorporate x^4 and y^4 as terms of $\varphi_0(x, y, z)$. Finally, the projectivity $x = x, y = y, z = z - \frac{1}{2}(u_2x + u_3y)$ (characteristic $\neq 2$) allows to eliminate the terms in x^2yz and xy^2z . Up to renaming parameters, this yields the following normal form:

$$F = xyz^2 + x^4 + y^4 + u_1x^3z + u_2y^3z + u_3x^3y + u_4x^2y^2 + u_5xy^3$$

3.3 Degeneration for the linear type condition

A piece of difficulty regarding the question as to how the property of being of linear type moves on a family is that this property is neither kept by specialization nor by generalization. This difficulty permeates the theory by often conflicting with the usual degeneration conditions considered in the realm of families of hypersurfaces.

The normal form has degenerations to other normal forms whose general member has more involved singularities or even acquires new singular points. The following example may illuminate this phenomenon.

Example 3.8 Consider the family of irreducible rational plane quartics with exactly three nodes. In [8, Lemma 11.3] a normal form is given of a family whose general member is an irreducible quartic with three double points, namely

$$F = \lambda x^2 y^2 + \mu x^2 z^2 + \nu y^2 z^2 + 2xyz(u_1 x + u_2 y + u_3 z), \quad \lambda \nu \mu \neq 0.$$

To get a normal form whose general member is an irreducible quartic with three nodes, substituting $x = (\nu/\lambda\mu)^{1/4}x$, $y = (\mu/\lambda\nu)^{1/4}y$, $z = (\lambda/\mu\nu)^{1/4}z$ and renaming, one obtains the normal form

$$F = x^2 y^2 + x^2 z^2 + y^2 z^2 + 2xyz(u_1 x + u_2 y + u_3 z).$$

Note that for k -values $u_1 = \pm 1$, one of the nodes degenerates into a cusp and, similarly, for $u_2 = \pm 1$ or $u_3 = \pm 1$. Thus, the general member requires that the k -values of the triple (u_1, u_2, u_3) do not lie on the hypersurface $V((u_1^2 - 1)(u_2^2 - 1)(u_3^2 - 1))$ in order that it have exactly three nodes.

Requiring that the general member acquire no new singular points besides the three nodes imposes yet another obstruction. Of course, in the present low degree 4, because of genus reason there will be new singular points only if the general member properly factors. As we will see this obstruction is precisely given by the hypersurface whose equation is the discriminant $2u_1 u_2 u_3 + u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2 - 1$ of a suitable conic (see Section 3.4).

The following is a basic result for this part. It would mostly suffice for it to assume that the \mathbf{u} -coefficients of the terms of F be algebraically independent over k . We observe that a similar result holds for families of hypersurfaces whose general member is reduced and irreducible and, moreover, the singular locus is a nonempty set of points.

Theorem 3.9 *Let F denote a family of singular plane curves of degree $d \geq 2$, on parameters $\mathbf{a} = u_1, \dots, u_m$, whose general member is reduced and irreducible. Write $S = k[\mathbf{u}][x, y, z]$. Let $I_F \subset S$ denote the ideal generated by the x, y, z -partial derivatives of F and let $\mathcal{I} \in S$ stand for the ideal of 1-minors of the syzygy matrix of I_F . Then:*

- (a) I_F has codimension 2
- (b) \mathcal{I} has codimension at most 3
- (c) If k is algebraically closed of characteristic zero, the following are equivalent:
 - (i) \mathcal{I} has codimension 3.
 - (ii) The contraction of the ideal $\mathcal{I} : (x, y, z)S^\infty$ to $k[\mathbf{u}]$ has codimension ≥ 1 .
 - (iii) The plane projective curve $F(\alpha) \in k[x, y, z]$ obtained by evaluating $\mathbf{u} \mapsto \alpha$ off a set of codimension ≥ 1 in \mathbb{A}_k^m has gradient ideal of linear type.
 - (iv) There is some $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_k^m$ for which the evaluated ideal $\mathcal{I}(\alpha) \in k[x, y, z]$ has codimension 3.

Proof. (a) Clearly, $\text{codim}(I_F) \leq 3$. Since the general member is singular and reduced its gradient ideal has codimension 2. This forces $\text{codim}(I_F) = 2$.

(b) We go more algebraic: the ring $S = k[\mathbf{u}][x, y, z]$ is standard graded with $S_0 = k[\mathbf{u}]$. Since F is a homogeneous polynomial in this grading, its partial derivatives are homogeneous of same degree. We claim that any syzygy of the partial derivatives has coefficients in $(x, y, z)S$. Indeed, since the partial derivatives are homogeneous of the same degree $d \geq 1$ in x, y, z , any syzygy is homogeneous of non-negative degree in x, y, z . Now, if a syzygy would happen to be of degree 0, i.e., with all its coordinates in the zero degree part $k[\mathbf{u}]$, this would force, by reading the relation in degree 0, a polynomial relation among the coefficients of degree 0 of the partials, hence a polynomial relation of \mathbf{u} , which is nonsense since these are indeterminates over k .

Incidentally, note this argument breaks down for syzygies of a higher order as the first syzygies may have different degrees in S .

(c) (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Write $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z)S$. Since $\text{codim}(\mathcal{I}) = 3$ and \mathfrak{m} is a minimal prime therein by the proof of (b), the saturation $\mathcal{I} : \mathfrak{m}^\infty$ picks up the primary components of \mathcal{I} not containing \mathfrak{m} . This shows that $(\mathcal{I} : \mathfrak{m}^\infty) \cap k[\mathbf{u}] \neq \{0\}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let $g = g(\mathbf{u}) \in (\mathcal{I} : \mathfrak{m}^\infty) \cap k[\mathbf{u}]$ be any nonzero element. By hypothesis, g conducts a power of $(x, y, z)S$ inside \mathcal{I} . Giving \mathbf{u} k -values α off $V((\mathcal{I} : \mathfrak{m}^\infty) \cap k[\mathbf{u}])$ yields a power of the maximal ideal $(x, y, z) \subset k[x, y, z]$ inside the image $\mathcal{I}(\alpha)$ of \mathcal{I} by this evaluation. Let $f = F(\alpha) \in k[x, y, z]$ denote the member of the family thus obtained. Then $\mathcal{I}(\alpha) \subset I_1(\varphi)$, where φ denotes the syzygy matrix of the partial derivatives of f . This shows that $I_1(\varphi)$ is (x, y, z) -primary. Therefore, the result follows from Corollary 3.2.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) The hypothesis is that the gradient ideal of the general member of the family is of linear type. Again by Corollary 3.2 this implies that the ideal of 1-minors of such a plane curve has codimension 3. On the other hand, for general value α of \mathbf{u} , one has $\mathcal{I}(\alpha) = I_1(\varphi_\alpha)$, where φ_α stands for the syzygy matrix of $F(\alpha)$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) By definition, $\mathcal{I}(\alpha) = (\mathcal{I}, \mathbf{u} - \alpha)/(\mathbf{u} - \alpha)$ upon identifying $k[\mathbf{u}, x, y, z]/(\mathbf{u} - \alpha) = k[x, y, z]$ under the surjection $k[\mathbf{u}][x, y, z] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y, z]$ such that $\mathbf{u} \mapsto \alpha$. Since $\mathbf{u} - \alpha$ is a regular sequence in a polynomial ring we easily get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ht}((\mathcal{I}, \mathbf{u} - \alpha)/(\mathbf{u} - \alpha)) &= \text{ht}(\mathcal{I}, \mathbf{u} - \alpha) - \text{ht}(\mathbf{u} - \alpha) \leq \\ \text{ht}(\mathcal{I}) + \text{ht}(\mathbf{u} - \alpha) - \text{ht}(\mathbf{u} - \alpha) &= \text{ht}(\mathcal{I}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies the result. □

The following example illustrates the various obstructions.

Example 3.10 The one-parameter family $F = y^4z + x^5 + ux^3y^2$ (see Example 3.6) is such that \mathcal{I} has codimension 2, hence the gradient ideal of the general member $F(\alpha)$ of the family is not of linear type. Clearly, it follows that $\mathcal{I}(\alpha)$ has height ≤ 2 for any $\alpha \in k$. A computation with *Macaulay* gives moreover that the associated primes of S/\mathcal{I} are $(x, y) \subset (x, y, z)$. Perhaps surprisingly, the special member $F(\mathbf{0})$ is easily seen to have gradient ideal of linear type, i.e., the ideal of 1-minors of the syzygy matrix of the special member $F(\mathbf{0})$, obtained by evaluating F at $\mathbf{0}$, has codimension 3. This simple example shows that the property in question does not deform to the generic member.

Under the equivalent assumptions of item (c) of Theorem 3.9, one can give the approximate structure of the contracted ideal in item (ii).

Proposition 3.11 *Let the assumptions be those of Theorem 3.9 and assume that \mathcal{I} has codimension 3. If \mathcal{I} has a minimal prime of codimension 3 other than $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z)S$ then $(\mathcal{I} : \mathfrak{m}^\infty) \cap k[\mathbf{u}]$ has codimension 1. If, moreover, \mathcal{I} is pure-dimensional then this contraction is a principal ideal.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{p} be a minimal prime of codimension 3 of \mathcal{I} other than \mathfrak{m} . Since $I_F \subset \mathcal{I}$ (because of the Koszul relations) then \mathfrak{p} contains a minimal prime of I_F . But the latter are of two sorts: either the extensions of the minimal primes (in $k[x, y, z]$) of the singular points of the general curve of the family, or else minimal primes of codimension 3. In the first case, \mathfrak{p} contains two independent 1-forms in $k[x, y, z]$ which, up to a projective change of coordinates, can be assumed to be x, y . Clearly, these forms are part of a minimal set of generators of \mathfrak{p} and $(x, y, \mathcal{I}) \subset \mathfrak{p}$. But \mathcal{I} is homogeneous in the variables $k[x, y, z]$, generated in positive such degrees (see the argument in the proof of (b)), hence there exist suitable polynomials $g_j(\mathbf{u}) \in k[\mathbf{u}]$ and integers $k_j \geq 1$, $1 \leq j \leq s$, such that

$$(x, y, \mathcal{I}) = (x, y, z^{k_j} g_j(\mathbf{u}), 1 \leq j \leq s).$$

Since $z \notin \mathfrak{p}$, necessarily some $g_j(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathfrak{p}$. But then $\mathfrak{p} = (x, y, p(\mathbf{u}))$, for some prime factor of $g_j(\mathbf{u})$.

We now deal with the case where \mathfrak{p} contains a minimal prime of I_F of codimension 3, hence coincides with it. Let \mathfrak{p}_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$ denote the minimal primes of codimension 3 of \mathcal{I} . Each of these, by the previous argument, has a minimal generator $p_i(\mathbf{u}) \in k[\mathbf{u}]$. Then

$$\left(\prod_i \mathfrak{p}_i \right) \cap k[\mathbf{u}] = \left(\prod p_i(\mathbf{u}) \right),$$

a principal ideal. On the other hand,

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{I} : \mathfrak{m}^\infty} \subset \bigcap \sqrt{\mathcal{P}_i : \mathfrak{m}^\infty} \subset \bigcap (\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_i} : \mathfrak{m}^\infty) = \bigcap \sqrt{\mathcal{P}_i} = \bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i = \sqrt{\prod_i \mathfrak{p}_i},$$

where \mathcal{P}_i denotes the \mathfrak{p}_i th primary component of \mathcal{I} . This proves that the contraction $(\mathcal{I} : \mathfrak{m}^\infty) \cap k[\mathbf{u}]$ has codimension ≤ 1 , hence is exactly 1 by Theorem 3.9.

The additional assertion at the end of the statement is now clear. \square

3.4 Rational quartics

We review some preliminaries about rational quartics, the basic reference being [17].

An irreducible rational quartic having only double points can be obtained as a rational transform from a non-degenerate conic by means of one of the three basic plane quadratic Cremona maps:

- (1) $\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ with defining coordinates $(yz : zx : xy)$

The base locus of this Cremona map consists of the points $(1 : 0 : 0)$, $(0 : 1 : 0)$ and $(0 : 0 : 1)$, each with multiplicity one (in the classical terminology, three proper points – see [1]).

- (2) $\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ with defining coordinates $(xz : yz : y^2)$

The base locus of this Cremona map consists of the points $(0 : 0 : 1)$ and $(1 : 0 : 0)$, with multiplicity 1 and 2, respectively (in the classical terminology, one proper point and another proper point with a point in its first neighborhood).

- (3) $\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ with defining coordinates $(y^2 - xz : yz : z^2)$

The base locus of this Cremona map consists of the point $(1 : 0 : 0)$ with multiplicity 3, a so-called triple structure on a point (in the classical terminology, one proper point with a point in its first neighborhood and a point in its second neighborhood).

Theorem 3.12 (k algebraically closed of characteristic zero) *Let $F = F(\mathbf{u}, x, y, z) \in k[\mathbf{u}, x, y, z]$ be a family of rational plane curves of degree 4 with a fixed set of singular points in the sense previously defined. Then the general member $f = F(\alpha) \in k[x, y, z]$ in this family has gradient ideal of linear type.*

Proof. We will actually show a bit more, namely, that any irreducible rational quartic falls within a family whose general member has the required property for its gradient ideal. In this vein, we can and will assume that the members of any family are singular. This is because the gradient ideal of any smooth plane curve is generated by a regular sequence, hence trivially of linear type.

Now, any irreducible rational quartic $f \in k[x, y, z]$ has at least one double singular point and at most a triple point. Let us first consider the situation where f has a double point – hence has at most 3 such points and no triple point.

In this case, as explained above, f comes from a conic by means of a Cremona map.

Let $Q = u_1x^2 + u_2y^2 + u_3z^2 + 2u_4yz + 2u_5zx + 2u_6xy$ be the equation of the conic as above, assumed non-singular, i.e., the corresponding symmetric matrix has nonzero determinant $\Delta = u_1u_2u_3 + 2u_4u_5u_6 - u_1u_4^2 - u_2u_5^2 - u_3u_6^2$ (the *discriminant* of Q).

Applying the above Cremona maps, we obtain, respectively:

- (1) A quartic with exactly three double points at $P_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$, $P_2 = (0 : 1 : 0)$ and $P_3 = (0 : 0 : 1)$, where P_1 (respectively, P_2 , P_3) is a node except when the principal minor $u_1u_2 - u_6^2$ vanishes (respectively, except when the principal minors $u_1u_3 - u_5^2$, $u_2u_3 - u_4^2$ vanish).

Here we may harmlessly assume that $u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = 1$ provided they are all nonzero.

In this block belong the following families.

- (a) Three nodes:

$$\tilde{f} = y^2z^2 + x^2z^2 + x^2y^2 + 2xyz(u_4x + u_5y + u_6z), \quad u_4, u_5, u_6 \neq \pm 1, \quad \Delta \neq 0$$

$$\text{where } \Delta = 2u_4u_5u_6 - u_4^2 - u_5^2 - u_6^2 + 1.$$

- (b) Two nodes and one cusp:

$$\tilde{f} = y^2z^2 + x^2z^2 + x^2y^2 + 2xyz^2 + 2xyz(u_4x + u_5y), \quad u_4, u_5 \neq \pm 1, \quad \Delta \neq 0$$

$$\text{where } \Delta = 2u_4u_5 - u_4^2 - u_5^2 = -(u_4 - u_5)^2.$$

(c) One node and two cusps:

$$\tilde{f} = y^2z^2 + x^2z^2 + x^2y^2 + 2xy^2z + 2xyz^2 + 2u_4x^2yz, \quad u_4 \neq \pm 1$$

(d) Three cusps :

$$\tilde{f} = y^2z^2 + x^2z^2 + x^2y^2 - 2xyz(x + y + z)$$

- (2) A quartic with a double point at $P_1 = (0 : 0 : 1)$ (which is a node or a cusp according as to whether $u_2 \neq 0$ or $u_2 = 0$) and a double point at $P_2 = (1 : 0 : 0)$ (which is either a tacnode or a ramphoid cusp according as to whether the principal minor $u_1u_3 - u_5^2$ is nonzero or vanishes).

Here we may assume that $u_1 = u_3 = 1$ and $u_6 = 0$.

It comprises the following families.

(e) One tacnode and one cusp:

$$\tilde{f} = x^2z^2 + y^4 + 2y^3z + 2u_5xy^2z, \quad u_5 \neq \pm 1$$

(f) One tacnode and one node :

$$\tilde{f} = z^2(x^2 + y^2) + y^4 + 2y^2z(u_4y + 2u_5x), \quad u_5 \neq \pm 1, \quad \Delta = u_4^2 + u_5^2 - 1 \neq 0$$

(g) One ramphoid cusp and one node:

$$\tilde{f} = x^2z^2 + y^4 + 2zy^3 + 2xy^2z + u_2z^2y^2, \quad u_2 \neq 0$$

(h) One ramphoid cusp and one cusp:

$$\tilde{f} = x^2z^2 + y^4 + 2zy^3 + 2xy^2z$$

- (3) A quartic with an oscnode at $P_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$ if $u_2 \neq 0$; else, a singularity of type A_6 .

Here we may assume that $u_1 = 1$ and $u_5 = u_6 = 0$. Namely, we get the following forms.

(i) One oscnode :

$$\tilde{f} = (y^2 - xz)^2 + y^2z^2 + u_3z^4, \quad u_3 \neq 0$$

(j) One singularity of type A_6 :

$$\tilde{f} = (y^2 - xz)^2 + 2yz^3$$

An irreducible rational quartic having only double points – ordinary or not – falls within the following families up to coordinate change, according to the nature of its singularities. We have written \tilde{f} instead of F to help us think of the general member instead of the family itself. To keep track of the parameters in each case we have maintained the original indices, however anaesthetical they may look.

Note the two kinds of degeneration: first, whether a member of the family factors is controlled by the vanishing of the corresponding value of Δ ; second, whether the non-general member goes across stratified subfamilies is controlled by the vanishing of another ideal in $k[\mathbf{u}]$ - we will call the latter ideal the *strata degeneration locus*.

We now consider the case where the quartic has a triple point, say, at $P = (0 : 0 : 1)$.

Generally, for a plane irreducible curve of degree d with a singular point of multiplicity $d - 1$ (hence, a rational curve), it is frequently easier to look at the linear type condition. In the case of a quartic, up to a projective change of coordinates, the equation of the curve has the form $\varphi(x, y)z + \psi(x, y) = 0$, where φ can moreover be brought up to one of the forms $x(y^2 - x^2)$, xy^2 and y^3 , and ψ may be further normalized in such a way that the resulting family has as few parameters as possible.

(4) After these reductions, any irreducible plane quartic having $(0 : 0 : 1)$ as a triple point falls within three basic families, according to the nature of the triple point:

(k) An ordinary triple point:

$$\tilde{f} = x(y^2 - x^2)z + y^4 + x^2y(u_1y + u_2x)$$

(l) A triple point with double tangent:

$$\tilde{f} = xy^2z + x^4 + y^4 + u_1x^3y$$

(m) A higher cusp :

$$\tilde{f} = y^3z + x^4 + u_1x^2y^2.$$

The proof proceeds by dealing with each of the above types.

BLOCK (1)

(a) By Theorem 3.9, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{0})$ has codimension 3. From the symmetrical parametric structure of \tilde{f} , the inclusion $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{0}) \subset I_{\tilde{f}(\mathbf{0})}$ is an equality. On the other hand, by direct verification, the latter ideal admits the following syzygies:

$$\begin{pmatrix} xy^2 - xz^2 \\ -y^3 - yz^2 \\ y^2z + z^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x^3 - xz^2 \\ x^2y - yz^2 \\ x^2z + z^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Bringing in besides the generators of the gradient ideal, one obtains after a calculation $x^3, y^3, z^3 \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{0})$. Thus, $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{0})$ has codimension 3.

We first note that (b)–(d) are obvious successive strata of the family (a).

(b) In this first stratum the evaluated ideal $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{0})$ has codimension 2, so one may try another evaluation. Note that one cannot blindly apply the result of (a) to claim that, here too, there is some general value α for which the assertion holds, since α could lie outside the open set obtained in (a). (Of course, it goes without saying that an explicit such open set can be computed by way of Theorem 3.9, (ii) and we even have a conjecture about its

form.) Instead, we resort to a painful hand verification, namely, the following is a syzygy of \mathcal{I} :

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^2(u_4^2 - 1) + xy(u_4u_5 - 1) + 2xz(u_4 - u_5) + yz(u_4 - u_5) \\ y^2(u_5^2 - 1) + xy(u_4u_5 - 1) + xz(u_5 - u_4) + 2yz(u_5 - u_4) \\ 3z^2(u_4 - u_5) + xy(u_4 - u_5) + xz(2u_4^2 - u_5u_4 - 1) + yz(-2u_5^2 + u_5u_4 + 1) \end{pmatrix}$$

Looking at the first summand of each coordinate, one sees that for any “value” $\alpha = (u_4, u_5) \in \mathbb{A}_k^2$ with $u_4 \neq u_5$ and $u_4 \neq \pm 1$, $u_5 \neq \pm 1$, pure powers of x, y, z remain and the ideal generated by the coordinates will have codimension 3, hence also the corresponding $\mathcal{I}(\alpha)$.

(c) In this stratum by the same token, we look at the following two syzygies:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -xy + xz \\ 3y^2 + 2xyu_4 + xz + 3yz \\ -3z^2 - 2xzu_4 - 2xy - 3yz \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x^2(u_4 + 1) + 3/2xy + 3/2xz + yz \\ 3/2y^2 - xy(u_4 + 1) + 1/2yz \\ 3/2z^2 + 2/2yz + xz(u_4 + 1) \end{pmatrix}$$

An identical analysis as above, looking at the pure powers, allow to choose any “value” $u_4 \neq -1$.

(d) Here too it suffices to look at the following syzygies

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^2 + xy + 2/3xz - 2/3yz \\ -xy - y^2 + 2/3xz - 2/3yz \\ -1/3xz + 1/3yz \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} xy + xz - 2/3yz \\ -y^2 + 1/3yz \\ 1/3yz - z^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Once more, pure powers of the variables are easily located.

BLOCK (2)

(e) As in the proof of (a), here too the ideal $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{0})$ contains the ideal of 1-minors of the syzygy matrix of $I_{\tilde{f}(\mathbf{0})}$. One can check that the latter ideal admits the following syzygies:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2x^2 - 3y^2 \\ xz \\ -2xz \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 2xy + 3xz \\ yz \\ -2yz - 3z^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Bringing in the generator $\partial \tilde{f}(\mathbf{0})/\partial y = 4y^3 + 6y^2z$, one readily sees that $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{0})$ has codimension 3.

(f) This follows the same pattern as (e). The relevant syzygies to look at are

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^2 + y^2 \\ 0 \\ -xz \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 2xy^2 + xz^2 \\ yz^2 \\ -2y^2z - z^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the calculation to get suitable powers of x, y, z inside $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{0})$ is pretty straightforward.

(g) If we indulge ourselves allowing a computation with *Macaulay*, we get $u_2^2 \in (\mathcal{I}:\mathfrak{m}^\infty)$. By Theorem 3.9, *every* member in this family has gradient ideal of linear type. Alternatively, one can look for \mathcal{I} evaluated, say, at $u_2 \mapsto 1$. One column turns out to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} 5x^2 - y^2 + xz - yz \\ y^2 + xy + xz + yz \\ -z^2 - 2y^2 - xz - 2yz \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, the ideal generated by the three coordinates above already has codimension 3.

(h) Again, a computation with *Macaulay* gives the following syzygies:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2y^2 - 3xz \\ -yz \\ 3z^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x^2 - 27/50xz \\ 1/5xy + 1/5y^2 + 3/25xz - 9/50yz \\ -2/5y^2 - xz - 6/25yz + 27/50z^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, we locate pure powers as terms of the coordinates. Alternatively, note the coordinates $3z^2$ and $x^2 - 27/50xz = x(x - 27/50z)$ are invertible locally at (x, y) and (y, z) , respectively. Since the latter are the two singular minimal primes of the quartic, this shows that the gradient ideal is locally a complete intersection at these primes, hence is of linear type.

BLOCK (3)

(i) The discussion of this case is analogous to the one of (g). A computation with *Macaulay* yields get $u_3 \in (\mathcal{I}:\mathfrak{m}^\infty)$. Therefore, *every* member in this family has gradient ideal of linear type. As previously enacted, the ideal $\mathcal{I}(1)$ has codimension 3 through a convenient analysis of its terms.

(j) The following vectors are directly seen to be syzygies of the gradient ideal:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 6y^2 + xz \\ 3yz \\ -z^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 7x^2 + 18yz \\ 3xy \\ 6y^2 - 7xz \end{pmatrix}.$$

A straightforward calculation gives the right codimension.

BLOCK (4) (TRIPLE POINT)

(k) The discussion of this case is much like the one of (a) in that the parametric structure of \tilde{f} allows to see that the syzygies of $I_{\tilde{f}(\mathbf{0})}$ are contained in the syzygies of $I_{\tilde{f}}$ evaluated at $\mathbf{0}$. It then suffices to check that $I_{\tilde{f}(\mathbf{0})}$ is of linear type. We do this by arguing that it is locally a complete intersection at its unique singular prime (x, y) . For this, it suffices to consider the syzygy

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^2 - 2/3y^2 + 1/6xz \\ 1/6yz \\ -(3x + 1/2z)z \end{pmatrix}$$

where the last coordinate is invertible locally at (x, y) .

(l) As in (k), the syzygies of $I_{\tilde{f}(\mathbf{0})}$ are contained in the syzygies of $I_{\tilde{f}}$ evaluated at $\mathbf{0}$. Here it is elementary to guess the syzygy

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ xy \\ -4y^2 - 2xz \end{pmatrix}$$

Using further the generators of the gradient ideal of $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{0})$, it is readily seen powers of the variables among the entries.

(m) This case is like the previous one, only more elementary. We argue that $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{0})$ has codimension 3 as before by looking at the obvious syzygy of $I_{\tilde{f}(\mathbf{0})}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \\ -3z \end{pmatrix}$$

which clearly tells us that the ideal is locally a complete intersection at (x, y) . \square

Remark 3.13 We have drawn quite a bit on computation to verify all cases of the theorem. Using Theorem 3.9, to have a computation-free argument it would suffice to show that the contraction $(\mathcal{I}:\mathfrak{m}^\infty)\cap k[\mathbf{u}]$ coincides set-theoretically with the product $\Delta\mathfrak{a}$ of the discriminant and the strata degeneration locus $\mathfrak{a} \subset k[\mathbf{u}]$. We conducted a computational verification of this fact for all four blocks of families. Thus, morally, the conjecture for rational quartics is settled. However, we have found no immediate theoretical reason pointing at least to an ideal inclusion $(\mathcal{I}:\mathfrak{m}^\infty)\cap k[\mathbf{u}] \subset \Delta$. Note that, according to Proposition 3.11, one expects that the contraction also have codimension one, whereas the strata degeneration locus is given by a principal ideal.

It seems natural to conjecture that any *irreducible* quartic has gradient ideal of linear type.

References

- [1] M. Alberich-Carramiñana, *Geometry of the Plane Cremona Maps*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1769** Springer Berlin Heidelberg New Yor, 2002.
- [2] P. Aluffi, Shadows of blow-up algebras, *Tohoku Math. J.* 56 (2004), 593-619.
- [3] W.Bruns and J.Herzog, *CohenMacaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **39**, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [4] C. Ciliberto, F. Russo and A. Simis, Homaloidal hypersurfaces and hypersurfaces with vanishing Hessian, *Advances in Math.*, **218** (2008) 1759–1805.
- [5] H. Hironaka, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero, *Ann. of Math.* 79 (1964), 109-326.
- [6] J. Herzog, A. Simis and W. Vasconcelos, Koszul homology and blowing-up rings, in *Commutative Algebra*, Proceedings, Trento (S. Greco and G. Valla, Eds.). Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics **84**, Marcel-Dekker, 1983, 79–169.
- [7] C. Huneke, On the symmetric and Rees algebra of an ideal generated by a d -sequence, *J. Algebra* 62 (1980), 268275.
- [8] G. G. Gibson, *Elementary Geometry of Algebraic Curves: an Undergraduate Introduction*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. MR1663524 (2000a:14002)

- [9] D. Grayson and M. Stillman, *Macaulay 2*, a software system for research in algebraic geometry. Available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/>.
- [10] A. N. Nejad, The Aluffi algebra of an ideal, PhD Thesis, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Brazil, 2010.
- [11] A. Simis, *Remarkable Graded Algebras in Algebraic Geometry*, XIII ELAM, IMCA, Lima, July 1999.
- [12] A. Simis and W. Vasconcelos, The syzygies of the conormal module, *Amer. J. Math.*, **103** (2) (1981), 203–224.
- [13] P. Valabrega and G. Valla, Form rings and regular sequences, *Nagoya Math. J.* **72** (1978), 91–101.
- [14] G. Valla, Certain graded algebras are always Cohen-Macaulay, *J. Algebra* **42** (1976) 537–548.
- [15] G. Valla, On the symmetric and Rees algebra of an ideal, *Manuscripta Math.* **30** (1980) 239–255.
- [16] W. Vasconcelos, *Arithmetic of Blowup Algebras*, London Mathematical Society, Lecture Notes Series **195**, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [17] C. T. C. Wall, Geometry of quartic curves, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 117 (1995) 415–423.

Authors addresses:

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, CCEN, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE PERNAMBUCO,
CIDADE UNIVERSITÁRIA, 50740-540 RECIFE, PE, BRAZIL
E-mail: abbasnn@dmate.ufpe.br, aron@dmate.ufpe.br